

1 MITCHELL S. FUERST  
 Florida Bar No. 264598  
 2 mfuerst@fuerstlaw.com  
 Fuerst Ittleman, PL  
 3 1001 Brickell Bay Drive  
 4 32nd Floor  
 Miami, Florida 33131  
 5 Telephone: (305) 350-5690  
 Facsimile: (305) 371-8989  
 6 Appearing *pro hac vice*

7 LESLIE HOLMES  
 8 California Bar No. 192608  
 Leslie@HULawyers.com  
 9 HOLMES & USOZ, LLP  
 10 333 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 805  
 San Jose, California 95113  
 11 Telephone: (408) 292-7600  
 12 Facsimile: (408) 292-7611

13 Attorney for Defendants:  
 WELLNESS SUPPORT NETWORK, INC.,  
 14 ROBERT HELD, and  
 ROBYN HELD  
 15

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,  
 20  
 21 Plaintiff,  
 22 v.  
 23 WELLNESS SUPPORT NETWORK, INC., a  
 24 corporation, ROBERT HELD, individually and  
 25 as an officer of Wellness Support Network,  
 Inc., and ROBYN HELD, individually and as  
 26 an officer of Wellness Support Network, Inc.,  
 27 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:10-cv-04879-JCS  
**JOINT STIPULATION TO REVISE  
 SCHEDULE; DECLARATION OF  
 ANDREW S. ITTLEMAN IN SUPPORT**  
 Hearing Date: TBD  
 Courtroom A, 15th Floor  
 Magistrate Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

1       **I. INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

2           On June 16, 2011, pursuant to a stipulation by the parties to revise the stipulated and ordered  
3 schedule for this matter (Dkt #29), the Court entered an order (Dkt #33) setting deadlines for, among  
4 other things, the filing deadlines for Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and  
5 Defendants' reply, the parties to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, file a Rule 26(f) report,  
6 complete initial disclosures, and file a Case Management Schedule.  
7

8           As per this Court's June 16, 2011 Order, the last day for the parties to complete initial  
9 disclosures is September 9, 2011. However, given the complexities associated with this case, as well  
10 as undersigned counsel's scheduling conflicts, the parties have agreed to a 19-day extension of that  
11 deadline.  
12

13           At this time, pursuant to L.R. 6-2 and L.R. 7-12, the parties respectfully request that the  
14 deadline set for the last day for the parties to complete initial disclosures in the Court's June 16, 2011  
15 Order be extended by an additional 19 days. The proposed schedule is set forth below.  
16

17       **II. PROPOSED REVISED SCHEDULE**

18           1.       The parties propose the following modification to the current schedule for this case:  
19 The last day for complete initial disclosures shall be September 28, 2011.

20           2.       All other aspects of the Court's Scheduling Order dated June 16, 2011, should remain  
21 unchanged.  
22

23       **III. CONCLUSION**

24           The parties respectfully request that the Court revise the schedule as set forth above.  
25

26       Respectfully submitted,  
27  
28

1 Dated: September 7, 2011

FUERST ITTLEMAN, PL

2 HOLMES & USOZ, LLP

3 By: /s/ Mitchell S. Fuerst

4 Mitchell S. Fuerst

5 Attorney for Defendants, WELLNESS  
6 SUPPORT NETWORK, ROBERT HELD, and  
7 ROBYN HELD

8 By: /s/ Leslie Holmes

9 Leslie Holmes

10 Attorney for Defendants, WELLNESS  
11 SUPPORT NETWORK, ROBERT HELD, and  
12 ROBYN HELD

13 Dated: September 7, 2011

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

14 By: /s/ Laura Fremont

15 Laura Fremont

16 Kenneth H. Abbe

17 Attorney for Plaintiff, FEDERAL TRADE  
18 COMMISSION

19 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

20 DATED: 9/8/2011

21 JOSEPH C. SPERO  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
23 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
24 JUDGE  
25 Judge Joseph C. Spero  
26  
27  
28