| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
| 9  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | ATS PRODUCTS INC.,  |
| 12 | Plaintiff(s), ) No. C10-4880 BZ   |
| 13 | V.  |
| 14 | ) SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER<br>FRANK GHIORSO, THERMALGUARD )                 |
| 15 | TECHNOLOGY LLC, THERMALGUARD)<br>LLC,                                     |
| 16 | Defendant(s).   |
| 17 | /   |
| 18 | Following a telephone conference to address the issues                    |
| 19 | raised in the parties' letters (Docket Nos. 95 and 98), at                |
| 20 | which both parties were represented by counsel, IT IS HEREBY              |
| 21 | ORDERED as follows:   |
| 22 | 1. In accordance with the views expressed by the Court                    |
| 23 | at the conference, plaintiff's request for a protective order             |
| 24 | will be treated as a motion to quash the non-party deposition             |
| 25 | subpoenas served by defendants. With respect to the portions              |
| 26 | of the subpoenas that seek documents from the non-parties,                |
| 27 | plaintiff's motion to quash is <b>DENIED</b> . It is <b>GRANTED</b> as to |
| 28 | the portions of the subpoenas that seek deposition testimony.             |

1

| 1  | 2. The Court encourages the parties to work together to        |
|----|--|
| 2  | resolve any potential foundational issues, such as the         |
| 3  | authentication of documents.                                   |
| 4  | Dated: May 9, 2011   |
| 5  | Keman fimmeman   |
| 6  | Bernard Zimmerman<br>United States Magistrate Judge            |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\ATS V. GHIORSO\DISC ORD 2. FINAL VERSION.wpd |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
|    | 2  |