

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LATANYA MARIE SESSION,

No. C 10-04942 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**NOTICE RE BRIEFING
SCHEDULE**

PLM LENDER SERVICES INC., MONTEREY
BAY RESOURCES, INC., BETSY BLISS,
GRAHAM STOKES, MICHAEL B.
REINHOLD, WILLIAM S. CASSILLY, JULIE
OAK, KENNETH M. KIMMEY, BLAKE
CESARIN, LORELEI HELM, CHERYL M.
HALEY, HOWARD EDDY, TY EBRIGHT,
ANN EBRIGHT, CONTANZ FRIE, HOWARD
J. LIM, REIKO K. LIM, MATTHEW LOPEZ,
and DOES 1–50,

Defendants.

On October 26, 2011, defendants Ty Ebright, Monterey Bay Resources, Inc, and Ann Ebright provided notice of motion and filed a motion to dismiss the complaint (Dkt. No. 39). The Electronic Case Filing system indicates that defendants entered November 17, 2011, as the deadline for the response brief, and November 23, as the deadline for the reply. Defendants did not have leave of court to alter the briefing schedule set by the local rules.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, the opposition brief is due 14 days after the motion is served and filed. The reply is due seven days after the opposition is served and filed. The opposition brief is due **NOVEMBER 9**. The reply brief is due seven days after the opposition is

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

served and filed. Defendants renoticed the motion on October 27. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-7(d), this does not affect the briefing schedule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 31, 2011.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE