

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

No. C-10-4966 MMC

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ROGER TONNA, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTIONS FOR RELIEF FROM  
JUDGMENT, TO RE-OPEN CASE, FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED  
COMPLAINT, AND FOR SUMMARY  
ADJUDICATION; DENYING  
APPLICATIONS FOR TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER AND TO  
SHORTEN TIME**

17  
18 Before the Court is plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater's "Ex Parte Motion [for] Relief from  
19 Judgment(s)," filed October 12, 2011, and plaintiff's "Ex Parte Motion to Re-open Case,"  
20 filed October 12, 2011.

21 The instant filings represent, respectively, plaintiff's fourth and fifth attempts to set  
22 aside the judgment entered March 30, 2011. Having read and considered plaintiff's  
23 motions for relief from the judgment and to reopen the case, the Court hereby DENIES said  
24 motions for the reason plaintiff, again, fails to show any cognizable basis exists for  
25 reconsideration of the judgment entered March 30, 2011. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

26 Further, in light of the denial of the motions for relief from the judgment and to  
27 reopen the case, plaintiff's "Ex Parte Motion with Leave to File a First Amended Complaint,"  
28 filed October 12, 2011 and amended October 13, 2011, "Ex Parte Motion for Summary

1 Adjudication of Issues,” filed October 12, 2011, “Ex Parte Application Temporary  
2 Restraining Order with Asset Freeze,” filed October 12, 2011, and “Ex Parte Application for  
3 Order Shortening Time,” filed October 12, 2011, are hereby DENIED as moot.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: October 24, 2011

7   
8 MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28