

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORNELIO LIM,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHASE BANK USA, N.A., et al.,

Defendants.

No. C-10-05155-DMR

**ORDER VACATING HEARING ON
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS
PENDING CONSENT TO
JURISDICTION OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

On November 19, 2010, Defendant Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. (“Chase”), filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint (“Motion to Dismiss”), pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *See* Docket No. 5. Defendant Chase noticed a hearing on the Motion to Dismiss for January 13, 2011 at 11:00 a.m.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, a signed consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge must be filed by each party before consideration of any dispositive motion. All parties in the above-captioned case have not filed a signed consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the hearing on Defendant Chase’s Motion to Dismiss set for January 13, 2011 at 11:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED. Upon the filing of signed consents by all parties to the action, the Court will issue an order resetting the hearing. If a declination is filed, the case will be immediately reassigned to an Article III District Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 23, 2010



DONNA M. RYU
United States Magistrate Judge