25

26

27

28

//

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. C 10-05407 CRB JAMES W. JAMES, 12 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO Plaintiff, REMAND 13 v. 14 LITTON LOAN SERVICING, LP, ET AL., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Pro se Plaintiff James W. James brought suit against his mortgage company, alleging wrongdoing in connection with a loan modification. The Court recently granted the 18 mortgage company's Motion to Dismiss one of his claims, for fraud. See dkt. 22. Plaintiff 19 20 has now moved to have the case remanded to state court, asserting for the first time that 21 Defendants' Notice of Removal was incorrect in asserting that he had raised a federal issue. See dkt. 33. The Court agrees, and therefore, as the Court ruled from the bench at the 22 motion hearing, both GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion and VACATES the earlier order (dkt. 23 24 //

For the Northern District of California

 $22).^{1}$

Dated: May 9, 2011

IT IS SO ORDERED.

court lacked jurisdiction).

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE