E-Filed 6/15/11 1 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP WILLIAM G. GAEDE, III (136184) wgaede@mwe.com 2 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100 Menlo Park, CA 94025 3 (650) 815-7400 Telephone: Facsimile: (650) 815-7401 4 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 5 JOSEPH R. ROBINSON (Pro Hac Vice) jrobinson@mwe.com 6 HEATHER MOREHOUSE ETTINGER (Pro Hac Vice) Hettinger@mwe.com 7 340 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10173 Telephone: (212) 547-5509 8 Facsimile: (212) 547-5444 9 Attorneys for *Plaintiffs* KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 10 WILLIAM R. ZIMMERMAN (SBN 195859) bzimmerman@kmob.com 11 SHEILA N. SWAROOP (SBN 203476) sswaroop@kmob.com 12 BENJAMIN A. KATZENELLENBOGEN (SBN 208527) bkatzenellenbogen@kmob.com 13 2040 Main Street Fourteenth Floor 14 Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 760-0404 Telephone: (949) 760-9502 15 Facsimile: Attorneys for *Impax Laboratories*, *Inc.* 16 THE BANCHERO LAW FIRM LLP 17 E. JEFFREY BANCHERO (SBN 93077) eib@bancherolaw.com 18 SCOTT R. RABER (SBN 194924) sraber@bancherolaw.com Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor 19 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-7000 20 Facsimile: (415) 616-7000 21 KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP JOHN L. NORTH (*Pro Hac Vice*) 22 inorth@kasowitz.com JEFFREY J. TONEY (Pro Hac Vice) 23 jtoney@kasowitz.com LAURA FAHEY FRITTS (*Pro Hac Vice*) 24 lfritts@kasowitz.com 1360 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1150 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 25 Telephone: (404) 260-6080 (404) 260-6081 Facsimile: 26 Attorneys for Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc.—Florida, Watson Pharma, Inc., and Anda, Inc. 27 28

> SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT SUBMISSION AND SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO. 10-CV-05467 RS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

3

1

2

SHIRE LLC, SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., AMY F.T. ARNSTEN, PH.D., PASKO RAKIC, M.D., and ROBERT D. HUNT, M.D.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC., WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.–FLORIDA, WATSON PHARMA, INC., and ANDA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 10-CV-05467 RS

SUPPLEMENTAL CASE
MANAGEMENT SUBMISSION,
SCHEDULING ORDER, AND ORDER
ON PRESUMPTIVE LIMIT OF
HOURS FOR INVENTORS'
DEPOSITIONS
AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT

Hon. Richard Seeborg

At the Case Management Conference of June 2, 2011, the Court indicated that it would adopt a modified version of Plaintiffs' proposed case schedule. The Court also directed the parties to further confer regarding discovery. Pursuant to the Court's instructions at the Case Management Conference and the Court's June 2, 2011 Order requiring the parties to submit an updated proposed schedule and discovery plan, the parties submit the following:

18

UPDATED CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE

28

Event	Date
Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions and Document Production Accompanying Disclosure (PLR 3.1, 3.2)	10/03/2011
Disclosure of Preliminary Invalidity Contentions and Document Production Accompanying Disclosure (PLR 3.3, 3.4)	11/17/2011
Exchange List of Claim Terms each Party contends should be Construed by the Court (PLR 4.1(a))	12/01/2011
Deadline to Meet and Confer to Limit Terms in Dispute and Identify 10 Terms likely to be Most Significant to Resolving Dispute (PLR 4.1(b))	12/08/2011

DM US 28921621-2.085199.0893

SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT SUBMISSION AND SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO. 10-CV-05467 RS

Event	Date
Exchange Proposed Constructions for Each Term Identified by the Parties, including Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence Supporting each Construction (PLR 4.2(a)(b))	12/22/2011
Deadline to Meet and Confer for Purposes of Finalizing Preparation of Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement (PLR 4.2(c))	01/09/2012
File Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, including identification of 10 claim terms who construction will be most significant to resolution of the case (PLR 4.3)	01/16/2012
Complete Claim Construction Discovery (PLR 4.4)	02/17/2012
Deadline to Amend Pleadings	02/17/2012
Deadline for Plaintiffs serving and filing Opening Claim Construction Brief (PLR 4.5(a))	03/02/2012
Deadline for Defendants serving and filing Opposition to Claim Construction Brief (PLR 4.5(b))	03/23/2012
Reply in support of Claim Construction Brief (PLR 4.5(c))	04/06/2012
Markman Hearing (PLR 4.6)	05/02/12 @ 10:0

Further Case Management Conference, Statement due on week prior: 7/12/12 @ 10:00 a.m.

Following the issuance of the *Markman* ruling, the Court will schedule a second Case Management Conference to schedule the remainder of the case through trial.

STIPULATION AND DISPUTE ON DISCOVERY SCOPE

Stipulation on Depositions. The parties agree that Plaintiffs shall be entitled to sixteen (16) depositions of fact witnesses, of which no more than eight (8) will be of the Watson Defendants and no more than eight (8) will be of Impax. Impax shall be entitled to eight (8) depositions of fact witnesses; and the Watson Defendants shall be entitled to eight (8) depositions of fact witnesses.

Dispute on Presumptive Length of Named Inventor Depositions. The parties disagree on the presumptive time limit for the depositions of the named inventors of the Patents-in-Suit.

Plaintiffs' position is that an inventor deposition should be limited to seven hours in accordance with the Federal Rules, unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties on a deposition-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by-deposition basis. If the parties cannot agree, either party may seek a decision from the Court.

Defendants' position is that the time limit for named inventors' depositions should be enlarged to ten hours given the presumptive importance of their testimony. Defendants do not anticipate that every inventor deposition will take ten hours. However, Defendants do anticipate seeking a ten hour limit from Plaintiffs for each inventor deposition and believe it would be more efficient to resolve this issue now to avoid seeking piecemeal Court intervention with respect to each of the five inventor depositions.

Stipulation on Interrogatories. Plaintiffs may serve no more than ten (10) "joint" interrogatories on the Watson Defendants and Impax.¹ In addition to the joint interrogatories, Plaintiffs may serve no more than ten (10) interrogatories on the Watson Defendants and no more than ten (10) interrogatories on Impax.

The Watson Defendants and Impax together may serve no more than ten (10) joint interrogatories on Plaintiffs. In additional to the joint interrogatories, the Watson Defendants may serve no more than ten (10) interrogatories on Plaintiffs, and Impax may serve no more than ten (10) interrogatories on Plaintiffs.

ORDER

The Court adopts the foregoing Case Management Schedule and the parties' stipulations on the number of depositions and interrogatories. As to the issue of the presumptive number of hours for the inventors' depositions, the Court orders that a presumptive limit of [seven (7)] [ten (10)] hours shall apply to all named inventor depositions in this matter. If a party would like to modify the presumptive time limit, the parties first must meet and confer on the issue. If the parties cannot resolve the dispute, the parties then may seek relief from the Court.

6/15/11 DATED:

HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG Judge of the United States District Court

27

28

26

¹ "Joint" interrogatories are defined as interrogatories that are identical irrespective of defendant.