

E-Filed 1/6/11

1 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
WILLIAM G. GAEDE III (136184)
2 wgaede@mwe.com
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100
3 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004
Telephone: +1 650 815 7400
4 Facsimile: +1 650 815 7401

5 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
JOSEPH R. ROBINSON (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
6 jrobinson@mwe.com
HEATHER M. ETTINGER (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
7 hettinger@mwe.com
340 Madison Avenue
8 New York, NY 10173
Telephone: (212) 547-5509
9 Facsimile: (212) 547-5444

10 Attorneys for *Plaintiffs*

11 THE BANCHERO LAW FIRM LLP
E. JEFFREY BANCHERO (93077)
12 jbanchero@bancherolaw.com
SCOTT R. RABER (194924)
13 sraber@bancherolaw.com
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
14 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 398-7000
15 Facsimile: (415) 616-7000

16 Attorneys for *Defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals,*
Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc.–Florida, Watson
17 *Pharma, Inc., and ANDA, Inc.*

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 SHIRE LLC, SUPERNUS
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., AMY F.T.
22 ARNSTEN, PH.D., PASKO RAKIC,
M.D., and ROBERT D. HUNT, M.D.,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.,
WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
26 WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.–
FLORIDA, WATSON PHARMA, INC.,
27 and ANDA, INC.,

28 Defendants.

CASE NO. 10-CV-05467 RS

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME FOR WATSON
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WATSON
LABORATORIES, INC.–FLORIDA, ANDA,
INC. AND WATSON PHARMA, INC. TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT; AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON**

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
CASE NO. 10-CV-05467 RS

1 WHEREAS, Defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc.–
2 Florida, ANDA, Inc. and Watson Pharma, Inc.’s (hereinafter “Watson Defendants”) response to
3 Plaintiffs’ complaint is presently due January 3, 2011;

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ counsel and Watson Defendants’ counsel conferred and agreed
5 that the Watson Defendants may extend the time within which to file its response to Plaintiffs’
6 Complaint;

7 WHEREAS, the Watson Defendants dispute that personal jurisdiction exists over them
8 generally in Northern District of California proceedings but do not contest personal jurisdiction
9 for purposes of this action only.

10 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties hereto, through their
11 respective counsel, as follows:

12 1. The Watson Defendants dispute that personal jurisdiction exists over them
13 generally in the Northern District of California proceedings but do not contest personal
14 jurisdiction for purposes of this action only;

15 2. The time for the Watson Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’
16 Complaint shall be extended 24 days, up to and including January 27, 2011.

17 SO STIPULATED this 3rd day of January 2011.

18 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

19
20 By: /s/ William G. Gaede, III
21 William G. Gaede, III

22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23 THE BANCHERO LAW FIRM LLP

24
25 By: /s/ Scott R. Raber
26 Scott R. Raber

27 Attorneys for Defendants Watson
28 Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories,
Inc.–Florida, ANDA, Inc. and Watson Pharma,
Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION


Pursuant to General Order 45.X(B), I hereby attest that concurrence has been obtained from Scott R. Raber indicated by a “conformed” signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

/s/ William G. Gaede, III
William G. Gaede, III

-oOo-

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1/6/11


HONORABLE RICHARD SELBORG
United States District Court Judge