

1
2
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE NOTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5
6 MITSUI O.S.K. LINES, LTD.,) Case Nos. 11-cv-02861-SC
7) 10-cv-05591-SC
8 Plaintiff,)
9) ORDER SETTING PAGE LIMITS
10 v.) FOR RESPONSE BRIEFS
11)
12 SEAMASTER LOGISTICS, INC., SUMMIT)
13 LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL, INC., KESCO)
14 CONTRAINER LINE, INC.; KESCO)
15 SHIPPING, INC., and DOES 1 through)
16 20,)
17)
18 Defendants.)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

29 On March 21, 2013, following a trial, the District Court
30 issued its Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law in a single
31 opinion covering two consolidated cases: the "Freight Case" (No.
32 10-cv-05591-SC) and the "Trucking Case" (No. 11-cv-2861-SC).
33 Defendants SeaMaster and Summit appealed the Judgment in the
34 Trucking Case, and Plaintiff Mitsui O.S.K. Lines ("MOL") cross-
35 appealed. On July 6, 2015, the Ninth Circuit issued a Memorandum
36 Disposition affirming the finding of misrepresentation against
37 SeaMaster and Summit, reversing the damages award to MOL and
38 remanding for recalculation, reversing the award of attorney's fees
39 to MOL, reversing the dismissal of MOL's RICO claim and remanding
40 the RICO issues for further proceedings, and affirming the Court's
41 conclusions on alleged co-conspirator liability.

42 On August 14, 2015, the parties issued a joint case management

1 statement. ECF No. 337. The joint statement requested that the
2 Court set the following briefing schedule for the issues pending on
3 remand:

- 4 • MOL and SeaMaster/Summit to file simultaneous opening briefs
5 on the issues pending on remand by September 4, 2015
- 6 • MOL and SeaMaster/Summit to file simultaneous response briefs
7 on September 11, 2015

8 On August 18, 2015 the Court granted the parties' proposed
9 briefing schedule. ECF No. 338. Pursuant to that order, the
10 parties submitted their opening briefs on September 4, 2015. ECF
11 Nos. 341, 342. The brief submitted by Defendants totaled 22 pages.
12 The brief submitted by Plaintiff totaled 62 pages. Response briefs
13 are due on September 11, 2015.¹

14 Any further discussion of nonessential facts and other
15 extraneous musings being unnecessary and a waste of resources, the
16 Court hereby ORDERS the parties to limit their response briefs to
17 20 pages. The parties should focus their briefs on the essential
18 issues before the Court on remand. 20 pages is more than enough
19 space to present cogent and concise arguments on the relevant
20 issues.

21
22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: September 9, 2015


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26
27
28 ¹ The Court denied the parties' request to extend the briefing
schedule on September 8, 2015. ECF No. 343.