Case3:10-cv-05615-JSW Document37 Filed03/22/11 Page1 of 7

U2 Home Entertainment, Inc. v. Hanya Star Culture & Technology Co., Ltd. et al

Doc. 40

1 2	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; AND, (2) EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO FIRST		
3	vs.	AMENDED COMPLAINT		
4	HANYA STAR CULTURE & TECHNOLOGY	Complaint Filed: December 10, 2010		
5	CO., LTD., a foreign corporation doing business in California, and doing business as HANYA STAR) Honorable Judge Jeffrey S. White, Presiding		
6	COMPANY, INC.; ITALK GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a foreign corporation) (E-fîling)		
7	doing business in California, and doing business as ITALKBB; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,			
8				
9	Defendants.			
10				
11	Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 6-1(a) of the Civil			
12	Local Rules of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Plaintiff U2 HOME			
13	ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a California Corporation doing business as CENTURY HOME			
14	ENTERTAINMENT and TAI SENG ENTERTAINMENT ("U2" or "Plaintiff"), on the one hand, and			
15	Defendants HANYA STAR CULTURE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. ("Hanya Star") and ITALK			
16	GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("iTalk") (collectively, Hanya Star and iTalk may be referred			
17	to as "Defendants"), on the other hand, without waiver of any objection or defense, hereby agree and			
18	stipulate as set forth below.			
19	RECITALS			
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its Complaint on December 10, 2010 ("Complaint"); and			
21	WHEREAS, on February 14, 2011, iTalk filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint under Fed. R.			
22	Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim ("iTalk's Feb. 14 Rule 12(b)(6) Motion") (Doc. Nos. 19-22);			
23	and			
24	WHEREAS, on February 18, 2011, Hanya Star filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint under			
25	Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5) for insufficient service and under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a			
26	claim (such motions are referred to as "Hanya Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(5) Motion" and/or "Hanya			
27	Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(6) Motion" as the case may be) (Doc. Nos. 24-26); and			
28	WHEREAS, on February 18 and 25, 2011, the Court issued two Orders setting the Briefing			

Schedule (Doc. Nos. 27 and 29), which scheduled the hearings on both of the Motions to Dismiss for April 22, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2011, in response to the Motions to Dismiss filed by Defendants, Plaintiff filed: (1) a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") (Doc. No. 33); (2) an Opposition to iTalk's Feb. 14 Rule 12(b)(6) Motion (Doc. No. 32) asking the Court to order such Motion moot in light of the filing of the FAC; (3) an Opposition to Hanya Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(5) and 12(b)(6) Motion (Doc. No. 36) opposing that part of Hanya Star's Motion to Dismiss asserting insufficient service of process, and asking the Court to order the remainder of such Motion moot in light of the filing of the FAC; and (4) supporting declarations and proposed orders regarding the aforementioned (Doc. No. 36); and

WHEREAS, Hanya Star contends that other than the filing of Hanya Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(5) Motion and 12(b)(6) Motion and stipulations, Hanya Star has not appeared in this action and waives no objection or defense relating to service and jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the parties dispute whether the FAC was filed timely and/or whether the FAC states valid claims for which relief can be granted and/or whether the FAC addresses the issues raised in the Defendants' respective 12(b)(6) Motions to Dismiss referred to above; and

WHEREAS, the parties recognize that, absent a stipulation of the type set forth below, resolving the issue of the timeliness of the filing of the FAC would involve time and expense for the parties and the Court. and

WHEREAS, if the FAC were deemed to be timely filed on March 11, 2011, the response of each Defendant would be due on March 25, 2011, absent a stipulation extending such time; and,

WHEREAS the parties recognize that by entering into this Stipulation: (a) each Defendant is (i) reserving all of its rights, claims and defenses; (ii) preserving all rights to challenge the FAC, (iii) preserving all rights relating to the sufficiency or propriety of the FAC, and is (iv) not waiving any argument that the FAC fails to state a claim or is otherwise improper or insufficient; and, (b) that Plaintiff contends that the FAC does properly address the issues raised by the Motions to Dismiss and further contends that the FAC does state valid claims for which relief can be granted; and Plaintiff is reserving all of its rights, claims and defenses; and

WHEREAS, in order to allow the parties to resolve the issue of the timeliness of the filing of the

1	FAC and to allow Defendants sufficient time to evaluate the FAC and respond thereto, the parties are					
2	entering into the following Stipulation.					
3	STIPULATION					
4	NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their respective undersigned					
5	counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:					
6	1. The First Amended Complaint filed on March 11, 2011, that is, the FAC referred to					
7	above, will be deemed to be the operative complaint in this action, and Defendants consent to the filing					
8	of the FAC and stipulate that the FAC can be deemed timely filed, Defendants reserving all rights as set					
9	forth herein; and					
10	2. Each Defendant shall have up to and including April 8, 2011, to respond to the FAC,					
11	whether by motion, answer or otherwise; and					
12	3. If the response of either Defendant to the FAC is a motion, whether a Motion to Dismiss					
13	the FAC (or any portion thereof) or otherwise, such Defendant(s) shall notice the hearing on any such					
14	Motion for May 13, 2011, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits; and					
15	4. iTalk shall withdraw Talk's Feb. 14 Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss the original					
16	Complaint, without prejudice to, and reserving, (i) any and all of its rights, claims and defenses; (ii) all					
17	rights to challenge the FAC, (iii) all rights relating to the sufficiency of the FAC, and is (iv) any and all					
18	arguments that the FAC fails to state a claim or is otherwise improper or insufficient; and,					
19	5. Hanya Star shall withdraw Hanya Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss the					
20	original Complaint, without prejudice to, and reserving, (i) any and all of its rights, claims and defenses					
21	(ii) all rights to challenge the FAC, (iii) all rights relating to the sufficiency of the FAC, and is (iv) any					
22	and all arguments that the FAC fails to state a claim or is otherwise improper or insufficient; and,					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

Case3:10-cv-05615-JSW Document37 Filed03/22/11 Page5 of 7

1	6. Hanya Star's Feb. 18 Rule 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss for insufficient service remains				
2	pending and all currently scheduled dates relating to such motion, including the March 25, 2011, date				
3	for the filing of Hanya Star's reply and the scheduled hearing on April 22, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., shall				
4	remain in effect.				
5	SO STII	PULATED.			
6				IDELL & SEITEL LLP	
7	Dated: March 2	2, 2011	By:	/Richard J. Idell/	
8		,	3	Richard J. Idell	
9				Attorneys for Plaintiff U2 HOME ENTERTAINMENT, INC.	
10	Dated: March 2	2 2011		BAKER & McKENZIE LLP	
11	Barea. Waren 2	2, 2011	D		
12			By:	/Tod L. Gamlen/ Tod L. Gamlen	
13				Attorneys for Defendant iTALK GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	
14					
15	Dated: March 2	2, 2011		FENWICK & WEST LLP	
16			By:	/Liwen A. Mah/ Liwen A. Mah	
17				Attorneys for Defendant	
18				HANYA STAR CULTURE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.	
19					
20	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE L. Dieband, L. Idell, attest that Lamana of the atternava for Digitaliff I/2, Home Entertainment				
21	I, Richard J. Idell, attest that I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff U2 Home Entertainment,				
22	Inc., and as the ECF user and filer of this document, I attest that, pursuant to General Order No.				
23	45(X)(B), concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Tod L. Gamlen and Liwen Mah, the above signatories.				
24	ivian, the above	signatories.			
25	Dated: March 2	2 2011	By:	/Richard J. Idell/	
26		<u> </u>	ъy.	Richard J. Idell	
27	//				
28	//				
				5	
	I			\mathcal{S}	

1 - |PROPOSED| ORDER 2 THE ABOVE STIPULATION IS ADOPTED BY THE COURT AND PURSUANT TO SUCH STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 3 1. The First Amended Complaint ("FAC") filed on March 11, 2011, supersedes the 4 5 Complaint, is the operative pleading in this matter, and will be deemed timely filed; and 6 2. Defendants shall have up to and including April 8, 2011, to file a response to the FAC, 7 whether by motion, answer or otherwise; and 3. If the response of either Defendant to the FAC is a motion, whether a Motion to Dismiss 8 9 the FAC (or any portion thereof) or otherwise, such Defendant shall notice the hearing on any such June 3, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. 10 Motion for May 13, 2011, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits; and 4. The motion to dismiss for insufficient service filed by Hanya Star on February 18, 2011, 11 12 pursuant to Rule 12(b)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure remains pending and all currently scheduled dates relating to such motion, including the March 25, 2011, date for the filing of Hanya 13 Star's reply and the scheduled hearing on April 22, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., shall remain in effect. 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Luy Swhits Dated: March 23, 2011 17 18 Judge of the United States District Court Northern District of California 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28