Case3:10-cv-05625-SI Document18 Filed02/23/11 Page1 of 3

Siegel v. AU Optronics Corporation et al

Doc. 19

## Case3:10-cv-05625-SI Document18 Filed02/23/11 Page2 of 3

Nexgen Mediatech, Inc., Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc., Epson Imaging Devices Corporation, Epson
Electronics America, Inc., HannStar Display Corporation, LG Display Co. Ltd., LG Display America
Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Samsung Electronics America,
Inc., Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America
Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information
Systems, Inc., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.
("Stipulating Defendants") whereby Circuit City Trust agreed that Stipulating Defendants' deadline
to move to dismiss, answer, or otherwise respond to the Complaint would be 90 days from the
execution of the stipulation; and
WHEREAS, on February 8, 2011, this Court previously approved the stipulation between
Circuit City Trust and the Stipulating Defendants;
WHEREAS Tatung acknowledges that Circuit City Trust served the Complaint on Tatung on
February 9, 2011 and Circuit City Trust acknowledges that this stipulation does not constitute a
waiver by Tatung of any other substantive or procedural defense, including but not limited to the
defenses of lack of personal or subject matter jurisdiction and improper venue;
WHEREAS, Circuit City Trust and Tatung have reached an agreement to extend the time
within which Tatung must move against, answer or otherwise respond to Circuit City Trust's
Complaint such that Tatung's response will be due at the same time as the responses of the
Stipulating Defendants;

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

## Case3:10-cv-05625-SI Document18 Filed02/23/11 Page3 of 3

THEREFORE, the time within which Tatung must move against, answer, or otherwise 1 2 respond to Circuit City Trust's Complaint is extended until 90 days from February 2, 2011 (the date 3 of execution of the stipulation between Circuit City Trust and the Stipulating Defendants). IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 5 Respectfully submitted, 6 DATED: February 23, 2011 7 By: /s/ Rachel S. Brass 8 Joel S. Sanders (CA Bar No. 107234) Rachel S. Brass (CA Bar No. 219301) 9 Rebecca Justice Lazarus (CA Bar No. 227330) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 10 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105 11 (415)393.8200 (Phone) (415)393.8306 (Facsimile) 12 rbrass@gibsondunn.com 13 Attorneys for Defendant Tatung Company of America 14 By: /s/ Kenneth S. Marks 15 Kenneth S. Marks (admitted pro hac vice) 16 Susman Godfrev LLP 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 17 Houston, TX 77002-5096 (713) 651-9366 18 (713) 654-6666 kmarks@susmangodfrey.com 19 Attorneys for Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of Circuit City 20 Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust 21 22 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of 23 this document has been obtained from the signatories to this document. 24 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 2/23/11 27 Date Entered Honorable Judge Susan Illston 28 101026959 1.DOC 3

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP