Siegel v. AU Optronics Corporation et al

26

27

28

## 1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 ALFRED H SIEGEL, No. 7-md-1827 & C 10-05625 SI 6 Plaintiff, **DISMISSAL** ORDER OF **SETTLEMENT** 7 8 AU OPTRONICS, 9 Defendant. 10 The parties to the action, by their counsel, have advised the court that they have agreed 11 to a settlement. 12 13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. However, that if any party hereto certifies to this court, with proof of service of a copy thereon on opposing counsel, 14 by December 31, 2015, that settlement has not in fact occurred, the foregoing order shall be vacated and 15 16 this cause shall forthwith be restored to the calendar for further proceedings. 17 6/1/15 18 Dated: **SUSAN ILLSTON** United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Doc. 270

**UPON**