1	M. ANDREW WOODMANSEE (CA SBN 2017	780)
2	MAWoodmansee@mofo.com STEPHANIE L. FONG (CA SBN 240836)	
3	SFong@mofo.com KIMBERLY R. GOSLING (CA SBN 247803)	
4	KGosling@mofo.com JESSICA A. ROBERTS (CA SBN 265570)	
5	JRoberts@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
6	12531 High Bluff Drive San Diego, California 92130-2040	
7	Telephone: 858.720.5100 Facsimile: 858.720.5125	
8	JOHN M. GOODMAN (DC SBN 383147)	
9	jgoodman@sldn.org SERVICEMEMBERS LEGAL DEFENSE NET	WORK
10	P. O. Box 65301 Washington, DC 20035-5301	
11	Telephone: 202.328.3244 Facsimile: 202.797.1635	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL D. ALMY	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	MICHAEL ALMY, JASON KNIGHT, and ANTHONY LOVERDE,	Case No. cv 10-5627 (RS)
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION AND
18	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR STAY OF CURRENT BRIEFING SCHEDULE
19	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	AND TO CREATE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION FOR
20	DEFENSE; ROBERT M. GATES, Secretary of Defense; DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR	PROTECTIVE ORDER
21	FORCE; MICHAEL B. DONLEY, Secretary, Department of the Air Force; DEPARTMENT	
22	OF THE NAVY; and RAY MABUS, Secretary, Department of the Navy,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

In accordance with Northern District of California Local Rules 6-2, 7-11 and 7-12 plaintiff Michael Almy and the Government Defendants, by and through their respective counsel, file this stipulated joint administrative motion for a stay of the current briefing schedule and to create a briefing schedule on a motion for a protective order.

On August 10, 2012, Defendants in this matter are scheduled to file their Opposition to Plaintiff Almy's Motion for Summary Judgment and their own Motion to Dismiss, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendants have indicated that they intend to attach documents and quote from documents that Plaintiff believes should be filed under seal. Defendants disagree with Plaintiff's assessment of the confidentiality of these materials and, pursuant to Federal Regulation 29 CFR 50.9, believe that it is appropriate to file them on the public record.

The parties have met and conferred on this issue and have reached the following agreement, subject to the Court's approval:

- that the briefing schedule set out in ECF No. 77 be stayed pending resolution of a protective order regarding the material at issue;
- that Plaintiff files a Motion for Protective Order no later than August 24, 2012, which shall be noticed for October 4, 2012 at 1:30 p.m.;
- that Defendant files an Opposition to the Motion for Protective Order no later than September 7, 2012;
- that Plaintiff files a Reply to the Motion for Protective Order no later than September 14, 2012.

Due to the dispute over the nature of the materials, the briefing on the Protective Order shall be filed under seal pending resolution by the Court. To the extent the Court deems the material at issue not to be "sealable," the briefing regarding the Motion for Protective Order shall become public five (5) business days after the Court's ruling on the Motion for Protective Order.

27 ///

///

28 ///

Also, five (5) business days following the Court's ruling on the Motion for Protective	
Order, the briefing for Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant's Motion to	
Dismiss, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment shall resume pursuant to a revised	
briefing schedule ordered by the Court.	
Dated: August 9, 2012 M. ANDREW WOODMANSEE STEPHANIE L. FONG	
KIMBERLY R. GOSLING JESSICA ANNE ROBERTS	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
JOHN M. GOODMAN SERVICEMEMBERS LEGAL DEFENSE	
NETWORK	
By: <u>/s/ M. Andrew Woodmansee</u> M. ANDREW WOODMANSEE	
Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL ALMY	
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
D. 1. 8/0/12	
Dated: _8/9/12 United States District Court sudge	