28

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 ACTUATE CORPORATION, No. C 10-05750 WHA 9 Plaintiff, 10 NOTICE RE STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL 11 AON CORPORATION and THE WARRANTY GROUP, INC., 12 Defendants. 13 14 Judgment in favor of defendant The Warranty Group, Inc. and against plaintiff Actuate 15 Corporation was entered in July 2012 (Dkt. No. 164). Plaintiff timely filed a notice of appeal 16 (Dkt. No. 168). Subsequently, attorney's fees were granted for defendant (Dkt. No. 176). 17 Plaintiff timely filed a notice of appeal of that order (Dkt. No. 185). Now, because of a 18 purported settlement, plaintiff and defendant have filed a joint "stipulation to dismissal with 19 prejudice" (Dkt. No. 186). 20 This filing is improper for two reasons: *First*, it is improper under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) 21 because it has not been signed by all parties who have appeared (Aon Corporation). Second, this 22 Court lacks jurisdiction to dismiss this action after a notice of appeal has been filed because such 23 action improperly divests an appellate court from rendering a decision on the merits of a pending 24 appeal. See United Nat. Ins. Co. v. R&D Latex Corp., 242 F.3d 1102, 1109 (9th Cir. 2001). 25 26 Dated: October 1, 2012. 27 United States District Judge