

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*\*E-filed 3/14/11\*\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DANIEL CALIX,

No. C 10-5895 RS

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE ADMISSION OF  
COUNSEL *PRO HAC VICE* AND  
POTENTIAL CONSOLIDATION OF  
CASES**

APPLE, INC.

Defendants.

---

1. Good cause appearing under the particular circumstances of this action, subject to counsel for plaintiff applying and otherwise qualifying for *pro hac vice* admission, they shall be relieved from the requirement of Civil Local Rule 11-3 (a)(3) to designate local co-counsel.

2. In view of the order relating this action to *Gallion v. Apple, Inc.*, 10-1610-RS and *Corsi v Apple, Inc.*, 10-3316, and of the order entered in the docket of *Gallion* (but not in *Corsi* or this action) appointing interim lead counsel to serve in all three cases, the parties shall meet and confer to determine if consolidation of the three actions is appropriate. If the parties reach agreement, they shall submit a stipulation to consolidate the actions under a single caption, with the other two case files to be closed. If one or more parties objects to consolidation, or believes there is reason to keep

1 more than one case file open, they may file a letter brief setting forth their position within 20 days of  
2 the date of this order.

3  
4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6

7 Dated: 3/14/11

8

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28