25

26

27

28

v.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL M. MILLER,

No. C 10-80301 WHA

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS

Defendants.

FACEBOOK, INC. And YAO WEI YEO,

On November 18, 2010, plaintiff Daniel M. Miller and nonparty movants filed a motion in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, seeking to quash subpoenas issued regarding an action pending before the undersigned judge. Because it was related to the action already pending here, the Georgia action was transferred to this Court and reassigned to the undersigned judge. The pending motion to quash the subpoenas was terminated at that time. Accordingly, Miller's instant motion to withdraw the motion to quash is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

Additionally, Miller is advised that his motion would have been improper even if the motion to quash were still pending; Civil Local Rule 7-7(e) specifies the correct procedure for withdrawing such a motion by way of notice, not through an additional motion. Miller is urged to read the local rules and to comply with them in all future filings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 3, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE