

1 Dan Marmalefsky (SBN 95477)
 DMarmalefsky@mofo.com
 2 Tiffany Cheung (SBN 211497)
 TCheung@mofo.com
 3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 555 West Fifth Street
 4 Los Angeles, California 90013-1024
 Telephone: 213.892.5200
 5 Facsimile: 213.892.5454
 6 Attorneys for Defendant
 STONEBRIDGE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

7
 8 Sean Reis (SBN 184044)
 sreis@edelson.com
 9 Edelson McGuire, LLP
 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300
 10 Rancho Santa Margarita, California 92688
 Tel: 949.459.2124
 11 Fax: 949.459.2123
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 12 JESSICA LEE

13 Stuart D. Kirchick (SBN 144145)
 sdkirchick@aol.com
 14 Law Offices of Stuart D. Kirchick
 1143 Story Road, Suite 210
 15 San Jose, CA 95122
 Tel: 408-291-0123
 16 Fax: 408-291-0418
 Attorney for Defendant
 17 TRIFECTA MARKETING GROUP, LLC

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

20 JESSICA LEE, individually and on behalf of a
 21 class of similarly situated individuals,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 STONEBRIDGE LIFE INSURANCE
 COMPANY, a Vermont corporation, and
 25 TRIFECTA MARKETING GROUP, LLC, a
 26 Florida limited liability company,

27 Defendants.
 28

Case No. CV 11-0043-RS

**STIPULATION TO RESET
 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE DATE**

Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg
 Magistrate: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Jessica Lee, Defendant Stonebridge Life Insurance
2 Company (“Stonebridge”), and Defendant Trifecta Marketing Group, LLC (“Trifecta”) (collectively
3 referred to herein as the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby
4 stipulate to move the date currently set for the settlement conference in this case as set forth below:

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this case on January 4, 2011 (Dkt. 1.);

6 WHEREAS, the Plaintiff and Stonebridge agreed to participate in, and the Court ordered, a
7 settlement conference in front of Hon. Joseph C. Spero (Dkt. 25.);

8 WHEREAS, the Plaintiff and Stonebridge thereafter concluded that the settlement
9 conference would not be productive without completing certain discovery and the inclusion of then
10 third-party Trifecta;

11 WHEREAS, on July 28, 2011, Plaintiff filed her First Amended Class Action Complaint
12 naming Trifecta as an additional Defendant (Dkt. 34.);

13 WHEREAS, on September 26, 2011, Stonebridge filed its Answer to the Amended
14 Complaint (Dkt. 40);

15 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2011, Trifecta filed its Answer to the Amended Complaint
16 (Dkt. 44)

17 WHEREAS, this is the Parties’ first request to continue the date of the settlement conference
18 since Trifecta’s appearance in this case (Plaintiff and Stonebridge’s fourth request since the
19 inception of the case and the first request from Trifecta), (Dkts. 29, 36, & 41.);

20 WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have actively discussed their current positions about
21 settlement and have determined that proceeding with the settlement conference as scheduled on
22 February 14, 2012, would not be an efficient use of the Parties’ or the Court’s resources given their
23 respective views regarding settlement;

24 WHEREAS, the Parties believe that a settlement conference or other ADR method may be
25 more productive if the Parties continue with discovery;

26 WHEREAS, the stipulated continuance of the settlement conference will not alter the date of
27 any other deadlines in the schedule for the case; and

28

1 WHEREAS, the Parties have informed the Court via telephone of their request to reset the
2 date of the settlement conference, and the Court has indicated that June 6, 2012 is an available date.

3 Pursuant to L.R. 6-2, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties, through
4 their counsel, that, with the Court's agreement, the settlement conference be reset from February 14,
5 2012 to June 6, 2012.

6 Confidential settlement conference statements shall be due by May 23, 2012 - JCS

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

