28

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 M.B.L. INC., Case No. C11-0044 RS (JCS) 7 Plaintiff(s), DISCOVERY ORDER REGARDING 8 v. **JOINT LETTER BRIEF [Docket No. 78]** 9 NATIONWIDE INDEMNITY, 10 Defendant(s). 11 12 On December 21, 2011, the Court held a telephonic discovery hearing regarding the Joint 13 Letter Brief filed on December 2, 2011, docket no. 78 (the "Motion"). Raymond Hamrick and Joe 14 Anderson, counsel for Plaintiff, appeared. Bryan Barber and Anna-Kathryn Benedict, counsel for 15 Defendant, appeared. 16 For reasons stated on the record and good cause shown, 17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 18 1. Defendant shall produce the single identified loan agreement (the "Agreement") that is 19 "similar" to the loan agreement at issue in this case, under the following terms and conditions: 20 a. The Agreement shall be produced on an Attorneys' Eyes Only basis on 21 January 20, 2012. 22 b. Before **January 20, 2012**, the insured on the Agreement may apply to this Court 23 for an Order that the Agreement not be produced. 24 c. The Agreement shall be produced in redacted form, readacting only 1) information 25 identifying the insured and 2) information protected by the attorney client privilege 26 and the attorney work product doctrine. 27 2. Except as expressly GRANTED, the Motion is DENIED.

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

## IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 22, 2011

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge