

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA State Bar No. 88500)
 2 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA State Bar No. 209134)
 3 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
 FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
 4 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA State Bar No. 191479)
 5 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
 50 Fremont Street
 6 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
 7 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 SHARP CORPORATION and
 9 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13
 14 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION
 15

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
 MDL No. 1827

16
 17 This Document Relates To
 18 Case No. 3:11-cv-00058-SI
 19 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,
 20 Plaintiff,
 21 v.
 22 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.
 23 Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER TO STAY CLAIMS
 AGAINST SAMSUNG, SHARP, AND
 TOSHIBA DEFENDANTS**

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation (“Costco”) and Defendants Sharp
2 Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
3 Samsung Electronics Company, and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.; and Toshiba
4 Corporation, Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba America Information
5 Systems, Inc., and Toshiba Mobile Display Co. (collectively, “Stipulating Defendants”)
6 hereby stipulate as follows:

7 STIPULATION

8 WHEREAS on September 9, 2011 the Court issued an order compelling arbitration
9 of Costco’s claims against Stipulating Defendants;

10 WHEREAS on September 29, 2011 Costco filed an Amended Complaint, naming
11 Stipulating Defendants among the defendants;

12 WHEREAS on October 11, 2011, Costco filed a demand for arbitration with the
13 American Arbitration Association, naming as respondents the Stipulating Defendants;

14 NOW, THEREFORE, Costco and the Stipulating Defendants, through their
15 undersigned respective counsel, stipulate and request that the Court order as follows:

- 16 1. Costco’s claims against the Stipulating Defendants are stayed pending the
17 completion of the arbitration, pursuant to section 3 of the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C.
18 § 3;
- 19 2. The Stipulating Defendants need not respond to Costco’s Amended Complaint.

20

21 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

22

23 Dated: October 17, 2011.

24

25

26

27

28

