I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JOSE CONTRERAS, No. C 11-00068 CRB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER
13	v.
14	BANK OF AMERICA, ET AL,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	Plaintiff filed this action in January 2011. Dkt. 1. Judge Fogel granted Defendants'
18	Motion to Dismiss on August 23, 2011 and ordered that Plaintiff amend the Complaint
19	within thirty days. Dkt. 24. Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint. On October 18,
20	2011, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss for failure to prosecute pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
21	P. 41(b) due to Plaintiff's failure to file an amended complaint. Dkt. 30. This Court ordered
22	Plaintiff to show cause why his case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute under
23	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) by Monday, November 28, 2011. On Monday,
24	November 28, 2011, Plaintiff responded by filing an Amended Complaint. The Court will
25	construe the Amended Complaint additionally as a response to the OSC, that while sparse

26 and untimely, the Court will accept.

27 //

28

//

United States District Court

The parties shall treat the Amended Complaint as the operative complaint. Therefore, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute is DENIED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 29, 2011 CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE