KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP 1 JAMES G. GILLILAND, JR. (State Bar No. 107988) TIMOTHY R. CAHN (State Bar No. 162136) 2 MEHRNAZ BOROUMAND SMITH (State Bar No. 197271) 3 HOLLY GAUDREAU (State Bar No. 209114) RYAN BRICKER (State Bar No. 269100) Two Embarcadero Center Eighth Floor 4 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 576-0200 5 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300 Email: jgilliland@kilpatricktownsend.com tcahn@kilpatricktownsend.com mboroumand@kilpatricktownsend.com 7 hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com 8 rbricker@kilpatricktownsend.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT Case No. CV11-00167 SI AMERICA LLC, 15 Plaintiff, **SECURITY** 16 ٧. 17 Judge: Hon. Susan Illston GEORGE HOTZ; HECTOR MARTIN 18 CANTERO; SVEN PETER; and DOES 1 through 100, 19 Defendants. 20 21 WHEREAS, by Orders dated January 26, 2011, attached hereto as Exhibit A, the 22 23 24

Court has granted the Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Order To Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction, And Order of Impoundment filed by Plaintiff Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC ("SCEA");

WHEREAS, the Court has ordered SCEA to post a bond in the amount of \$10,000 as security for issuance of the Temporary Restraining Order.



25

26

27

28

NOW, THEREFORE, SCEA herewith tenders a check (No. 500309) in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), made payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California." DATED: January 27, 2011 Respectfully submitted, KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP By: TIMOTHY R/CAHN MEHRNAZ BOROUMAND SMITH **HOLLY GAUDREAU** RYAN BRICKER Attorneys for Plaintiff SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC 63123453 v1



EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Case3:11-cv 167-SI Document50

Case3:11-cv-00167-SI Document2-1

Filed01/27/ Page1 of 6

Filed01/11/11/11



[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND ORDER OF IMPOUNDMENT CASE NO.

On January 11, 2011, Plaintiff Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC ("SCEA") filed a Complaint against Defendants George Hotz ("Defendant Hotz"), Hector Martin Cantero, Sven Peter and Doe Defendants 1 through 100.

 SCEA has alleged that each Defendant, individually and in concert with the other Defendants, has: (1) circumvented effective technological protection measures ("TPMs") employed by SCEA to protect against unauthorized access to and/or copying of SCEA's proprietary PlayStation®3 computer entertainment systems ("PS3 System"), and other copyrighted works; and (2) trafficked in circumvention technology, products, services, methods, codes, software tools, devices, component or part thereof, including but not limited to the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm ("ECDSA") Keys, encryption and/or decryption keys, dePKG firmware decrypter program, Signing Tools, 3.55 Firmware Jailbreak, and/or any other technologies that enable unauthorized access to and/or copying of PS3 Systems and other copyrighted works.

SCEA has alleged that Defendants have violated the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") 17 U.S.C. §1201, et seq.; the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act ("CFAA"), 18 U.S.C. § 1030, et seq.; and has alleged contributory copyright Infringement arising out of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §501, et seq.; as well as related state and common law claims for violation of the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, Cal. Penal Code § 502, et. seq., breach of contract, tortious interference with contractual relations, trespass and common law misappropriation.

On January 11, 2011, pursuant to Fed. Civ. P. 65, Civil Rules 65-1 and 7-10, SCEA moved ex parte on its claims for violation of the DMCA and CFAA against Defendant Hotz for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO"), an Order to Show Cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue, and an Order of Impoundment (17 U.S.C. § 1203 (b) (2)). In support of its motion, SCEA has submitted a Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declarations of Riley R. Russell, Bret Mogllefsky and Ryan Bricker In Support of Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order And Order To Show Cause Re Preliminary

Injunction; a proposed Temporary Restraining Order, Order to Show Cause, Order of Impoundment, and a copy of the Complaint. Following notice to Defendant Hotz, this matter was heard on January 4, 2011.

Having considered all the papers and arguments, the Court finds that SCEA has shown a likelihood of success on the merits of its claims for violation of the DMCA and CFAA, and that it will suffer irreparable harm unless Defendant Hotz's violations are enjoined. The Court also finds that a Temporary Restraining Order and Order of Impoundment are necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable injury to SCEA before the hearing on the Order to Show Cause regarding the preliminary injunction can take place, and to preserve the status quo. The Court further finds that the balance of equity and interests of justice support granting such relief. Accordingly, this Court enters the following orders:

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Hotz and his officers, employees, attorneys and representatives, and all other persons or entities in privity or acting in concert or participation with Defendant Hotz, be immediately temporarily restrained from:

- 1. Offering to the public, creating, posting online, marketing, advertising, promoting, installing, distributing, providing, or otherwise trafficking in any circumvention technology, products, services, methods, codes, software tools, devices, component or part thereof, including but not limited to the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm ("ECDSA") Keys, encryption and/or decryption keys, dePKG firmware decrypter program, Signing Tools, 3.55 Firmware Jailbreak, root keys, and/or any other technologies that enable unauthorized access to and/or copying of PS3 Systems and other copyrighted works (hereinafter, "Circumvention Devices").
- 2. Providing links from any website to any other website selling, offering for sale, marketing, advertising, promoting, installing, importing, exporting, offering to the public, distributing, providing, posting, or otherwise trafficking in any Circumvention

 Devices.

- 3. Engaging in acts of circumvention of TPMs in the PS3 System to access, obtain, remove, or traffic in copyrighted works.
- 4. Engaging in unauthorized access to the PS3 System or the PlayStation Network ("PSN") in order to obtain, access, or transmit any program, code, information or command therein.
- 5. Publishing, posting, or distributing any information, code, program, instructions, video, or other material obtained by circumventing TPMs in the PS3 System or by engaging in unauthorized access to the PS3 System or the PSN.
- 6. Assisting, facilitating or encouraging others to engage in the conduct set forth above in Nos. 1-5.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Hotz, his officers, employees, attorneys or representatives, and any and all other persons acting in concert or participation with Defendant Hotz, with notice of this Order, shall preserve, and not destroy, erase, delete, dispose of, or alter any documents or records, in whatever format, including electronic documents, computer files, computer discs and drives, that relate to, reflect, record, or contain any information regarding the manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, advertising, purchase, sale, offer to sell, trafficking, import, export, installation, payment, storage, and/or shipment of any and all of the Circumvention Devices, or any communications with any party conceming the manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, advertising, purchase, sale, offer to sell, trafficking, import, export, installation payment, storage, and/or shipment of any and all of the Circumvention Devices.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Hotz, his officers, employees, attomeys or representatives, and any and all other persons acting in concert or participation with Defendant Hotz, with notice of this Order, shall retrieve any Circumvention Devices or any information relating thereto which Hotz has previously delivered or communicated to the Defendants or any third parties.



1

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Temporary Restraining Order shall remain in effect until the date for the hearing on the Order to Show Cause set forth below, or until such further dates as set by the Court or stipulated to by the parties.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

CASE NO.

Defendant Hotz on or before January _

ORDER OF IMPOUNDMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of this Order, SUCH OFFICE IN TRENTON, NEW JERSEY AS PLANTIFF COUNCE Defendant Hotz shall deliver to Kilpatrick Townsorld & Stockton LLP, Two Embarcadoro, MICHAS 8th Floor, San Francisco, OA 94111 for impoundment any computers, hard drives, CDroms, DVDs, USB stick, and any other storage devices on which any Circumvention Devices are stored in Defendant Hotz's possession, custody or control.

SECURITY

IT IS ORDERED that SCEA shall post a bond in the amount of \$ 0,000 security for this Court's issuance of the Temporary Restraining Order, set forth hereinabove.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants shall show cause, unless Defendants waive the right to do so, before the Honorable SWAN DUST 87 the United States District Court for the Northern District of California located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California at 10:00 a.m. on January , 2011, why a preliminary injunction should not issue restraining and enjoining Defendant Hotz, his agents, employees, representatives and any other persons or entities in privity or acting in concert or participation with him, from offering to the public, distributing, marketing, advertising, promoting, installing, or otherwise trafficking in Circumvention Devices. THE PARTIES SHALL MEET AND RELARDING A HEAPING DATE,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that SCEA shall serve a coppy of this Order on

, 2011. Any response or opposition to this Order

PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND ORDER OF IMPOUNDMENT



	Case3:11-cv 167-SI Document50. Filed01/27/ Page6 of 6
	Case3:11-cv500167-SI Document2-1 Filed01/11/11 Page6 of 6
1	to Show Cause must be filed and personally served on SCEA's counsel no later than
2	noon,, 2011, and filed with the Court, along with proof of service, on
3	, 2011. Plaintiff shall file and serve any reply papers by
4	
5	,—
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED: 1/26/11
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	63093954 v1
10 11	
12	
13	
 4	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
8	



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT,

No. C 11-167 SI

Plaintiff,

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

GEORGE HOTZ,

Defendant.

On January 14, 2010, the Court held a hearing on plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order. After the hearing, the parties submitted supplemental briefs and declarations.

In order to obtain a temporary restraining order, plaintiff "must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008) (citations omitted). The Court has reviewed all of the filed materials, and after consideration of the record and the arguments of counsel, the Court finds that a temporary restraining order is warranted. Plaintiff has submitted substantial evidence showing that defendant George Hotz has violated the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201(a)(1)(A), 1201(b)(1). Plaintiff has also submitted evidence demonstrating that plaintiff is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of relief, and that the balance of hardships favors plaintiff. The Court also finds that an injunction is in the public interest. See Concrete Mach. Co. v.

¹ For purposes of ruling on plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order, the Court finds it unnecessary to also assess whether plaintiff has also shown a likelihood of success on the its claim under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Classic Lawn Ornaments, Inc., 843 F.2d 600, 612 (1st Cir. 1988) ("[I]t is virtually axiomatic that the public interest can only be served by upholding copyright protections and, correspondingly, preventing the misappropriation of the skills, creative energies, and resources which are invested in the protected work.") (quoting Apple Computer, Inc. v. Franklin Computer Corp., 714 F.2d at 1255 (3d Cir. 1983)).

Defendant Hotz asserts that this Court lacks personal jurisdiction over him. The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions and evidence on the jurisdictional question, and finds that at this stage of the litigation plaintiff has met its burden to show that the Court may exercise specific jurisdiction over Hotz because he purposefully directed his activities at the forum state. See Panavision Int'l, L.P. v. Toeppen, 141 F.3d 1316, 1320 (9th Cir. 1998); see also e.g., Brinker Decl. Ex. U. At the January 14, 2011 hearing, defendant's counsel stated that defendant intended to file a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Defendant may present his jurisdictional challenges on a fuller factual record.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order, and enters the attached order. (Docket No. 2). The parties are directed to immediately meet and confer regarding a briefing schedule and hearing date on (1) plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction against defendant Hotz and (2) defendant Hotz's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. The parties shall submit a stipulation and proposed order regarding the briefing schedule and hearing date by February 1, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January $\frac{26}{3}$, 2011

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [C.C.P. §§ 1011 and 1013, C.R.C.§ 2008, F.R.C.P. Rule 5, F.R.A.P. 25]
2	
	I declare that I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California; I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is
4	Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor, San Francisco, California, 94111. On the d
5	SECURITY
6	on the party(ies) in this action by placing said copy(ies) in a sealed envelope each addressed as follows:
7	Stewart Kellar
8	e-ttorney at Law™ 148 Townsend Street, Suite 2 San Francisco, CA 94107
9	Telephone No.: 415-742-2303 Email: stewart@etrny.com
10	M. First Olsea Maill Laws maddly familian with my small condition of a
11	[By First Class Mail] I am readily familiar with my employer's practice for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date listed herein, following ordinary business practice, I served the within document(s)
at my place of business, by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed enve	at my place of business, by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope,
13	with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service where it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day
	in the ordinary course of business.
14	
15	[By Overnight Courier] I caused each envelope to be delivered by a commercial carrier service for overnight delivery to the offices of the addressee(s).
16	☐ [By Hand] I directed each envelope to the party(ies) so designated on the
17	service list to be delivered by courier this date.
18	☐ [By Facsimile Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by facsimile
19	transmission to the fax number indicated for the party(ies) listed above.
20	[By Electronic Transmission] I caused said document to be sent by electronic transmission to the e-mail address(es) indicated for the party(ies) listed above.
21	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this date at San Francisco, California.
23	Halla, All
24	Dated: January 27, 2011 Shelley Lott
25	
26	63123453 v1
27	

