

EXHIBIT 9

Gaudreau, Holly

From: Gaudreau, Holly
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 10:25 PM
To: 'google-legal-support@google.com'
Subject: Attention: Kalynn (SCEA v. Hotz, et al.)
Attachments: 2011-03-10 (92) Civil Minute Order.pdf

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC v. Hotz, et al.
Case No. C-11-00167 (JCS) SI

Dear Kalynn:

As you know, we are counsel for Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC. I am following up on my voice mail from last Friday (March 11, 2011) regarding the subpoenas that were served on Google and YouTube in connection with the above referenced matter.

The Court has ordered us to advise you that the subpoena has been issued without prejudice to your right to file a Motion to Quash. Further, any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only." Please see the attached Order.

Please give me a call back to discuss the subpoenas. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,
Holly Gaudreau

Holly Gaudreau
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Eighth Floor | Two Embarcadero Center | San Francisco, CA 94111
office 415 273 4324 | fax 415 354 3443
hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com | [My Profile](#) | [VCard](#)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

CASE NO. C 11-00167 JCS

CASE NAME: SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT v. GEORGE HOTZ

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Karen Hom

DATE: March 10, 2011

TIME: 45 M

COURT REPORTER: Lydia Zinn

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

**James Gilliland, Mehrnaz Boroumand Smith
Holly Gaudreau**

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:

Jack Praetzellis & Stewart Kellar

PROCEEDINGS:

RULING:

1. Telephonic Discovery Hearing re: Joint Letter on Impoundment Issues [docket no. 86]
2. Joint Letter re: Discovery [docket no. 85]

ORDERED AFTER HEARING:

Pursuant to the Order signed on 3/3/11, docket no. 90, it is ordered that the issuance of the subpoenas is without prejudice to the subpoenaed party to file a Motion to Quash. Any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be provided on an "Attorneys' Eyes" only.

Court issued rulings on the record as to docket nos. 85 and 86. Plaintiff to prepare Order, with agreed to form by Defendant, no later than the close of business on 3/14/11.

ORDER TO BE PREPARED BY: Plaintiff Defendant Court

CASE CONTINUED TO:

Number of Depos:	Number of Experts:	Discovery Cutoff:
Expert Disclosure:	Expert Rebuttal:	Expert Discovery Cutoff:
Motions Hearing:	at 9:30 a.m.	Pretrial Conference: at 1:30 p.m.
Trial Date:	at 8:30 a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> Jury <input type="checkbox"/> Court	Set for days

cc: Chambers; Karen
* (T) = Telephonic Appearance

Gaudreau, Holly

From: Gaudreau, Holly
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 11:49 AM
To: 'subpoenas@softlayer.com'
Subject: SCEA v. Hotz - Subpoena served on 3/7/11
Attachments: 2011-03-10 (92) Civil Minute Order.pdf; 2011-03-04 Subpoena Duces Tecum to SoftLayer Technologies (2).PDF

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC v. Hotz, et al.
Case No. C-11-00167 (JCS) SI

To Whom It May Concern:

We are counsel for Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC. We are writing to follow up on the subpoena that was served on SoftLayer in connection with the above referenced matter. A copy of the subpoena is enclosed for your reference.

The Court has ordered us to advise you that the subpoena has been issued without prejudice to your right to file a Motion to Quash. Further, any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only." Please see the attached Order.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,
Holly Gaudreau

Holly Gaudreau
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Eighth Floor | Two Embarcadero Center | San Francisco, CA 94111
office 415 273 4324 | fax 415 354 3443
hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | VCard

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

CASE NO. C 11-00167 JCS

CASE NAME: SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT v. GEORGE HOTZ

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Karen Hom

DATE: March 10, 2011

TIME: 45 M

COURT REPORTER: Lydia Zinn

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

James Gilliland, Mehrnaz Boroumand Smith
Holly Gaudreau

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:

Jack Praetzellis & Stewart Kellar

PROCEEDINGS:

RULING:

1. Telephonic Discovery Hearing re: Joint Letter
on Impoundment Issues [docket no. 86]

2. Joint Letter re: Discovery [docket no. 85]

ORDERED AFTER HEARING:

Pursuant to the Order signed on 3/3/11, docket no. 90, it is ordered that the issuance of the subpoenas is without prejudice to the subpoenaed party to file a Motion to Quash. Any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be provided on an "Attorneys' Eyes" only.

Court issued rulings on the record as to docket nos. 85 and 86. Plaintiff to prepare Order, with agreed to form by Defendant, no later than the close of business on 3/14/11.

ORDER TO BE PREPARED BY: Plaintiff Defendant Court

CASE CONTINUED TO:

Number of Depos:	Number of Experts:	Discovery Cutoff:
Expert Disclosure:	Expert Rebuttal:	Expert Discovery Cutoff:
Motions Hearing:	at 9:30 a.m.	Pretrial Conference: at 1:30 p.m.
Trial Date:	at 8:30 a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> Jury <input type="checkbox"/> Court	Set for days

cc: Chambers; Karen
* (T) = Telephonic Appearance

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Texas

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC
Plaintiff
v.
George Hotz; Hector Martin Cantero; Sven Peter;
and Does 1 through 100
Defendant
Civil Action No. Miscellaneous
(If the action is pending in another district, state where:
USDC ND Cal., C-11-00167 SI)

SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: SoftLayer Technologies, Inc., 4849 Alpha Road, Dallas, TX 75244

Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Attachment A

Place: Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Date and Time: 03/16/2011 10:00 am

Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:
Date and Time:

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date:

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Holly Gaudreau
Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC, who issues or requests this subpoena, are: Holly Gaudreau, Esq., Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP, Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Flr., San Francisco, CA 94111; E-mail: hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com; Telephone: 415-576-0200

Civil Action No. Miscellaneous

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____ *Server's signature*

_____ *Printed name and title*

_____ *Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.

These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

ATTACHMENT A

INSTRUCTIONS

1. SoftLayer Technologies, Inc ("SoftLayer") is directed to furnish all documents, including electronically stored information, in its possession, custody or control.
2. As to any portion of any request that refers to documents that SoftLayer is aware of which were at one time within its possession, custody or control, but which are not now within or subject to its possession, custody or control, SoftLayer is directed to identify such documents in a manner sufficient to describe such documents for the purpose of preparing and serving a proper subpoena duces tecum and to give the name, telephone number, and address of the person last known by SoftLayer to have been in possession, custody or control of such documents.
3. If any document requested by this subpoena has been destroyed, set forth the contents of the document, the date of its destruction, and the name of the person who authorized its destruction.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

1. All information and documents related to the use of your service(s) to register, create, maintain and/or use the <psx-scene.com> account associated with user name "geohot".
2. Documents reproducing all content posted and/or published on <psx-scene.com> forums by the owner(s) and user(s) of the account identified in Request No. 1 above.
3. Documents reproducing all content posted and/or published on <psx-scene.com> forums by the "geohot" user name.
4. Documents sufficient to identify all names, email addresses, addresses, and telephone numbers associated with the account(s) identified in Request No. 1 above.
5. Documents reproducing all server logs, IP address logs, account information, account access records, and application or registration forms related to the account identified in Request No. 1 above.
6. Documents reproducing all e-mails, correspondence, or other material between you and the owner(s) or user(s) of the account identified in Request No. 1 above.
7. All documents related to any service that you have provided to the owner(s) or user(s) of the account identified in Request No. 1 above at any time, whether physically or electronically stored.

Gaudreau, Holly

From: Gaudreau, Holly
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 10:37 PM
To: 'Timothy Yip'
Subject: SCEA v. Hotz - Subpoena
Attachments: 2011-03-10 (92) Civil Minute Order.pdf

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC v. Hotz, et al.
Case No. C-11-00167 (JCS) SI

Hi Tim,

I am writing about the subpoena that was served on Twitter in connection with the above referenced matter. I look forward to hearing back from you regarding Mr. Hotz's Twitter account and whether Twitter has maintained copies of his Tweets that are the subject of the subpoena.

In the meantime, I'm writing to let you know that the Court has ordered us to advise you that the subpoena has been issued without prejudice to your right to file a Motion to Quash. Further, any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only." Please see the attached Order.

Thanks again for your assistance. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,
Holly

Holly Gaudreau
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Eighth Floor | Two Embarcadero Center | San Francisco, CA 94111
office 415 273 4324 | fax 415 354 3443
hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | VCard

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

CASE NO. C 11-00167 JCS

CASE NAME: SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT v. GEORGE HOTZ

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Karen Hom

DATE: March 10, 2011

TIME: 45 M

COURT REPORTER: Lydia Zinn

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

**James Gilliland, Mehrnaz Boroumand Smith
Holly Gaudreau**

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:

Jack Praetzellis & Stewart Kellar

PROCEEDINGS:

RULING:

**1. Telephonic Discovery Hearing re: Joint Letter
on Impoundment Issues [docket no. 86]**

2. Joint Letter re: Discovery [docket no. 85]

ORDERED AFTER HEARING:

Pursuant to the Order signed on 3/3/11, docket no. 90, it is ordered that the issuance of the subpoenas is without prejudice to the subpoenaed party to file a Motion to Quash. Any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be provided on an "Attorneys' Eyes" only.

Court issued rulings on the record as to docket nos. 85 and 86. Plaintiff to prepare Order, with agreed to form by Defendant, no later than the close of business on 3/14/11.

ORDER TO BE PREPARED BY: Plaintiff Defendant Court

CASE CONTINUED TO:

Number of Depos:

Number of Experts:

Discovery Cutoff:

Expert Disclosure:

Expert Rebuttal:

Expert Discovery Cutoff:

Motions Hearing: at 9:30 a.m.

Pretrial Conference: at 1:30 p.m.

Trial Date: at 8:30 a.m. Jury Court Set for days

**cc: Chambers; Karen
* (T) = Telephonic Appearance**

Gaudreau, Holly

From: Gaudreau, Holly
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 10:17 PM
To: 'legal@bluehost.com'
Subject: SCEA v. Hotz - Subpoena
Attachments: 2011-03-10 (92) Civil Minute Order.pdf

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC v. Hotz, et al.
Case No. C-11-00167 (JCS) SI

Dear Shari:

We are counsel for Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC. I am following up on my voice mail last Friday morning (March 11, 2011) to the legal department regarding the subpoena that was served on Bluehost in connection with the above referenced matter.

The Court has ordered us to advise you that the subpoena has been issued without prejudice to your right to file a Motion to Quash. Further, any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only." Please see the attached Order.

Since that time, on Friday afternoon, we received Bluehost's documents in response to the subpoena. Therefore, pursuant to the Court's order, we will treat this data as "Attorneys' Eyes Only." I have a few questions regarding the documents that have been produced. Please give me a call back to discuss. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,
Holly Gaudreau

Holly Gaudreau
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Eighth Floor | Two Embarcadero Center | San Francisco, CA 94111
office 415 273 4324 | fax 415 354 3443
hgaudreau@kilpatricktownsend.com | [My Profile](#) | [VCard](#)

3/14/2011

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

CASE NO. C 11-00167 JCS

CASE NAME: SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT v. GEORGE HOTZ

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Karen Hom

DATE: March 10, 2011

TIME: 45 M

COURT REPORTER: Lydia Zinn

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

James Gilliland, Mehrnaz Boroumand Smith
Holly Gaudreau

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:

Jack Praetzellis & Stewart Kellar

PROCEEDINGS:

RULING:

1. Telephonic Discovery Hearing re: Joint Letter on Impoundment Issues [docket no. 86]

2. Joint Letter re: Discovery [docket no. 85]

ORDERED AFTER HEARING:

Pursuant to the Order signed on 3/3/11, docket no. 90, it is ordered that the issuance of the subpoenas is without prejudice to the subpoenaed party to file a Motion to Quash. Any information provided pursuant to the subpoena shall be provided on an "Attorneys' Eyes" only.

Court issued rulings on the record as to docket nos. 85 and 86. Plaintiff to prepare Order, with agreed to form by Defendant, no later than the close of business on 3/14/11.

ORDER TO BE PREPARED BY: Plaintiff Defendant Court

CASE CONTINUED TO:

Number of Depos:

Number of Experts:

Discovery Cutoff:

Expert Disclosure:

Expert Rebuttal:

Expert Discovery Cutoff:

Motions Hearing:

at 9:30 a.m.

Pretrial Conference:

at 1:30 p.m.

Trial Date:

at 8:30 a.m. Jury Court Set for days

cc: Chambers; Karen
* (T) = Telephonic Appearance