1	DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES LAURENCE W. PARADIS (122336)
2 3	ANNA LEVINE (227881) KARLA GILBRIDE (264118)
3 4	2001 Center Street, Third Floor Berkeley, CA 94704
5	Telephone: (510) 665-8644; Facsimile: (510) 665-8511 Email: general@dralegal.org
6	LABARRE LAW OFFICES, P.C.
7	SCOTT C. LABARRE (to seek pro hac vice admission) 1660 S. Albion Street, Ste 918
8	Denver, CO 80222 Telephone: (303) 504-5979; Facsimile: (303) 757-3640
9	Email: slabarre@labarrelaw.com
10	BROWN, GOLDSTEIN & LEVY, LLP DANIEL F. GOLDSTEIN (to seek pro hac vice admission)
11	Trevor Co (to seek pro hac vice admission) 120 E. Baltimore St., Suite 1700
12 13	Baltimore, MD 21202 Telephone: (410) 962-1030; Facsimile: (410) 385-0869
13	Email: dfg@browngold.com
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff TIMOTHY ELDER
16	COOLEY LLP GREGORY C. TENHOFF (154553) (tenhoffgc@cooley.com)
17	WENDY J. BRENNER (198608) (brennerwj@cooley.com) LAURA A. TERLOUW (260708) (lterlouw@cooley.com)
18	Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real
19	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Telephone: (650) 843-5000; Facsimile: (650) 857-0663
20	FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. (to seek pro hac vice admission) ROBERT A. BURGOYNE (rburgoyne@fullbright.com)
21	801 Pennysylvania Ave, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004
22	Telephone: (202) 662-0200; Facsimile: (202) 662-4643
23 24	Attorneys for Defendant NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BAR EXAMINERS
25	KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP
26	JIM WAGSTAFFE (95535)(wagstaffe@kerrwagstaffe.com) 100 Spear Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
27	Telephone: (415) 371-8500; Facsimile: (415) 371-0500
28	Attorneys for Defendant THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	910838 vI/HN 1. JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER, CV11-00199 EDL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 Case No. CV11-00199 EDL TIMOTHY ELDER, 11 JOINT STIPULATION AND Plaintiff, 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 v. NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BAR 14 EXAMINERS AND STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 15 Defendants. 16 17

Counsel for the Parties in the above-captioned case have conferred by telephone and e-mail and have agreed to the matters set forth herein. The Parties hereby request that the Court enter this Joint Stipulation as an Order in this case. As used herein, "Defendants" shall refer collectively to Defendants National Conference of Bar Examiners and The State Bar of California.

1. **PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION.** Through this suit, Plaintiff is seeking to obtain testing accommodations on the Multistate Bar Examination ("MBE"), which is developed by the National Conference of Bar Examiners and administered by the State Bar of California. Plaintiff has applied to take the February 2011 California Bar Examination, which includes the MBE as one component, and the California Bar Examination commences February 22, 2011. Plaintiff has filed a motion for preliminary injunction that would require Defendants to provide his requested

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accommodations on the California Bar Examination in advance of a trial on the merits. The Parties have agreed to the following schedule for Plaintiff's preliminary injunction motion:

- a. Briefing schedule. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction, and all papers in support thereof, shall be filed and electronically served on Defendants' counsel on or before January 14, 2011. Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's motion shall be filed and electronically served on Plaintiff's counsel on or before January 28, 2011. Plaintiff's reply, if any, shall be filed and electronically served on Defendants' counsel on or before February 4, 2011. Defendants may, but are not required to, file dispositive or other motions in response to Plaintiff's complaint in conjunction with the briefing on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.
- b. Hearing. The Parties request that the Court set the hearing for February
 11, 2011, one week after the reply papers are filed, or as soon thereafter as possible consistent with the Court's calendar.
- 2. IMMEDIATE IRREPARABLE HARM. The Parties expressly acknowledge and agree that Defendants' consent to this Scheduling Order does **not** mean that Defendants have stipulated that there is immediate and/or irreparable harm to Plaintiff and this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any argument raised by Defendants concerning Plaintiff's alleged immediate and/or irreparable harm, and without prejudice to any other argument that Defendants may raise in opposition to Plaintiff's motion or thereafter.
- 3. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER. Plaintiff has also requested a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO"). The Parties agree that Plaintiff shall not seek, and request that the Court refrain from entering, any TRO pending the hearing on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff reserves his right to renew his request for a TRO should the motion for a preliminary injunction not be heard prior to the start of the February 2011 California Bar Examination, and Defendants reserve the right to oppose any such renewed request.
- 4. GENERAL ORDER No. 56. The Parties to this Stipulation shall stipulate to an administrative motion pursuant to Local Rule 7-11 that General Order No. 56 does not apply to

1	this case for the reasons to be set forth in the stipulated motion. Plaintiff's counsel shall draft, file		
2	and serve this stipulated administrative motion.		
3	5. SERVICE. The Parties to this Stipulation hereby stipulate to service of discovery		
4	and pleadings by electronic mail.		
5	Data de January (C. 2011	OOLEY LLP	
6	Dated: January [9], 2011 CC	OCEY ELP	
7	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	En Land	
8	Gr	regory C. Tenhoff (154553)	
9	BA BA	torneys for NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF AR EXAMINERS, INC.	
10	Dated: January [& 2011 KI	ERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP	
11	Dated: January 15 2011	ERR & WAGSTAFTE LLI	
12		James Castella	
13	Jir At	n Wagstaffe (95535) torneys for STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA	
14		which in plant of Capit old are	
15	Dated: January, 2011 DI	SABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES	
16			
17	La	urence W. Paradis	
18		torneys for STEPHANIE ENYART behalf of all counsel for TIMOTHY ELDER	
19 20			
20			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	2011	Sugar Mater	
24	Dated:, 2011	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
25			
26			
27			
28			
COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	4 910838 v		

1	this case for the reasons to be set forth in the stipulated motion. Plaintiff's counsel shall draft, file		
2	and serve this stipulated administrative motion.		
3	5. SERVICE. The Parties to this Stipulation hereby stipulate to service of discover		
4	and pleadings by electronic mail.		
5	TO CONTRACT D		
6	Dated: January, 2011 COOLEY LLP		
7			
8	Gregory C. Tenhoff (154553) Attorneys for NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BAR EXAMINERS, INC.		
10	,		
11	Dated: January, 2011 KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP		
12			
13	Jim Wagstaffe (95535)		
14	Jim Wagstaffe (95535) Attorneys for STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA		
15	Dated: January 18, 2011 DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES		
16	$\sigma = 21$		
17	Jam Wfart		
18 19	Laurence W. Paradis Attorneys for STEPHANIE ENYART On behalf of all counsel for TIMOTHY ELDER		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	11 IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated:, 2011		
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
25			
26			
27			
28			
COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	4. JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 910838 v1/HN ORDER, CV11-00199 EDL		