1	SCOTT A. SUGARMAN (No. 68277)				
2	SUGARMAN & CANNON				
3	180 Montgomery St., Suite 2350 San Francisco, CA. 94104				
4	Telephone: (415) 362-6252				
	Facsimile: (415) 362-6431				
5	Attorneys for Petitioner				
6	ALEXANDER BALBUENA				
7					
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9					
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	ACT OF CALIFORNIA			
11		N. C 11 0229 DS			
12	ALEXANDER BALBUENA,) No. C 11-0228 RS			
13	Petitioner,)			
14	v.)) STIPULATION TO EXTEND			
15	MARTIN BITER, Warden, and THE CALIFORNIA) TIME FOR PETITIONER TO ELLE $\{0, k\}$ MOTION AND			
16	ATTORNEY GENERAL,	 FILE 60(b) MOTION AND [proposed] ORDER 			
10	Respondents.)			
	Respondents.	_)			
18	The parties hereby STIPULATE that petitione	r Alexander Balbuena, through counsel			
19					
20	Scott A. Sugarman, Sugarman & Cannon, may extend	l the time to file a motion under Rule 60(b) of			
21	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for 30 days, up to	o and including May 7, 2014, beyond the			
22	filing date of April 7, 2014, previously set by this Cou	irf.			
23					
24					
25	On January 14, 2011, Alexander Balbuena ("	Balbuena) pro se filed a timely petition for			
26	writ of habeas corpus in this Court in this docket. On May 25, 2012, this Court denied that				
27	petition in its entirety, and denied Balbuena's request for a Certificate of Appealability. On May				
28					
	23, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued a Certificate of				
	Stipulation to Extend Time for Filing Rule 60(b) Mo	tion 1			

1	Appealability on whether Balbuena's statement had been voluntary or the product of police			
2	coercion:			
3	[whether the trial court] violated appellant's right to due process by denying his			
4	motion to suppress his confession on the ground that it was an involuntary product of police coercion.			
5	No. 12-1641, Dkt. 7. The Court of Appeals further directed that counsel be appointed for			
6	Balbuena.			
7				
8	Thereafter, Balbuena, through counsel, filed in the Court of Appeals a Motion to Stay			
9	Appeal and Remand to this Court to permit Balbuena to address an issue not raised in his habeas			
10	petition in this Court. Balbuena argued that Balbuena's statement/confession, which was			
11 12	introduced at his trial, was obtained in violation of Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966) and			
12	its progeny, including United States v. Bland, 908 F.2d 471 (9th Cir. 1990). On October 17,			
14	2013, after receiving briefing from the parties, the Court of Appeals denied Balbuena's Motion			
15	for Stay without prejudice to filing a renewed motion for stay accompanied by a written			
16	declaration that this Court was willing to entertain a motion to re-open proceedings in this action.			
17 18	On November 1, 2013, Balbuena filed in this Court an Application for a Written			
19	Indication. On November 7, 2013, this Court issued an Order re: Application for Written			
20	Indication, providing that this Court would entertain a motion to amend the petition to add a new			
21	claim in the habeas proceeding. No. C 11-0228 RS, Dkt. 27. The next day, Balbuena notified			
22	the Court of Appeals of this Court's Order. No. 12-16414, Dkt. 23.			
23	On November 22, 2013, Balbuena filed a renewed Motion for Stay and to Hold in			
24				
25	Abeyance the Pending Appeal, accompanied by this Court's Order regarding a Written			
26	Indication. No. 12-16414, Dkt. 26. On December 30, 2013, the Court of Appeals granted that			
27	Motion and remanded this matter to this Court to consider Balbuena's Motion under Rule 60(b).			
28				

Stipulation to Extend Time for Filing Rule 60(b) Motion

	On January 6, 2014, this Court issued an Order setting a briefing schedule for Balbuena's			
1 2	Motion under Rule 60(b), directing that Balbuena file that Motion on or before March 7, 2014,			
3	and setting deadlines for subsequent pleadings. In that Order, the Court noted that a reasonable			
4	request for extension of time will be granted upon a showing of good cause if such request was			
5	filed on or before the deadline set. On Stipulation of the parties and for good cause, this Court			
6				
7	re-set the briefing schedule so that Balbuena's Motion is now due April 7, 2014.			
8	Balbuena, through counsel, now seeks, with the concurrence of respondent, an additional			
9	30 days to file a Motion under Rule 60(b).			
10 11	As noted in the prior Stipulation filed by counsel, undersigned counsel was provided by			
12	Balbuena's prior attorney with three banker boxes of materials related to the state proceedings in			
13	the trial and appellate courts (such as transcripts of the trial, investigative reports and interviews).			
14	Undersigned counsel has now reviewed most, but not all of those records. Such records will be			
15	relevant to the Rule 60(b) Motion and related proceedings in this Court.			
16	As further good cause to an extension of time, in addition to representing Balbuena,			
17 18	undersigned counsel is representing 40 to 50 other clients in California and federal courts, in the			
19	trial courts or on appeal. For those clients, counsel for Balbuena has very recently filed, inter			
20	alia, a 26-page Motion/Memorandum for Discovery related to Brady/Giglio material, a 15-page			
21	Reply to the Opposition to that Motion, a 12-page Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena			
22	Duces Tecum, 10-page Motion/Memorandum to Suppress Evidence, 15-page			
23	Motion/Memorandum to Sever Defendants and/or Dismiss Charges, and 9-page			
24 25	Motion/Memorandum for Bail.			
26				
27				
28				
	Stipulation to Extend Time for Filing Rule 60(b) Motion 3			

1	Counsel for respondent and counsel for petitioner STIPULATE that the date by which			
2	petitioner may file his Rule 60(b) Motion may be extended 30 days up to and including May 7,			
3	2014. The parties further stipulate that the filing dates for subsequent pleadings may be similarly			
4	extended: respondent's response due on or before July 7, 2014, and petitioner's reply, if any, on			
5	or before August 7, 2014.			
6 7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
8	DATED: April 3, 2014	<u>/s/</u>		
9		Jill Marietta Thayer Deputy Attorney General		
10		Attorney for Respondent		
11	DATED: April 3, 2014	<u>/s/</u>		
12 13		Scott A. Sugarman Attorney for Alexander Balbuena		
14	SO ORDERED.	1110, 1		
15	DATE: 4/8/14	in Sector		
16		IARD SEEBORG		
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
20				
	Stipulation to Extend Time for Filing Rule 60(b) Motion 4			