

1
2
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6
7 KENNETH M. JONES,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 CITY OF PITTSBURG, et al.,
11 Defendant.


NO. C11-0294 TEH

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE MOTION TO
WITHDRAW

12
13
14 This matter comes before the Court on Cecily Gray's one-paragraph motion to
15 withdraw as counsel of record for Plaintiff Kenneth M. Jones. The motion is DENIED
16 without prejudice because it fails to set forth any basis for counsel's withdrawal. For
17 example, Gray fails to address whether withdrawal is permitted by the California Rules of
18 Professional Conduct. Gray also asserts that Jones will represent himself in pro per, but this
19 second-hand representation is insufficient; a signed declaration by Jones is required.

20
21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: 08/25/11



THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT