27

28

///

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 PATRICK OTIS NELSON, No. C-11-313 EMC (pr) 9 Petitioner, ORDER TO SUPPLEMENT EVIDENCE 10 v. 11 D. K. SISTO, 12 Respondent. 13 14 15 Respondent moved to dismiss the petition for writ of habeas corpus as barred by the statute 16 of limitations. In his opposition to that motion, Petitioner stated that he had been attempting to 17 obtain files from his counsel and was subjected to prison lockdowns. He provided little evidence of 18 either: his statements were not made under penalty of perjury, he provided almost no dates as to 19 when any events occurred, and many of the documents attached to his petition were unsigned/undated. No later than December 20, 2011, Petitioner must file and serve on Respondent 20 21 a declaration (with any helpful documents he wants to attach to it), in which he provides details of 22 his efforts to obtain the files and why he thought he needed his files. He should describe what he did 23 and when he did it, and describe any impediments to his efforts. He also should explain what 24 /// 25 /// 26 ///

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

records from the court proceedings he did have and for how long he had them. For any period he claims he was precluded from attending a library due to a lockdown, he should explain why he was unable to use a prison paging system to access legal materials. The Court only wants factual information; no further legal brief is appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 22, 2011

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge