

1 MICHELLE R. BARRETT, Bar No. 197280
 2 DALENE R. BRAMER, Bar No. 267753
 3 LITTLER MENDELSON
 4 A Professional Corporation
 5 650 California Street, 20th Floor
 6 San Francisco, CA 94108.2693
 7 Telephone: 415.433.1940
 8 Fax No.: 415.399.8490

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 ALEXANDER BRIK,
 15 Plaintiffs,
 16 v.
 17 WELLS FARGO,
 18 Defendant.

Case No. 11-CV-0347 MEJ

**JOINT STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER TO DISMISS CASE WITH
 PREJUDICE**

Judge: Hon. Maria-Elena James
 Courtroom: B, 15th Floor
 Complaint Filed: January 24, 2011

Trial Date: None Set

1 Plaintiff Alexander Brik (“Plaintiff”), and Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
2 (“Defendant”), by and through their respective counsel of record (collectively “the Parties”) hereby
3 stipulate and agree as follows:

4 **STIPULATION**

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Alexander Brik has decided to cease pursuit of his claims
6 against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; and

7 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiff’s claims should be dismissed with
8 prejudice against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.;

9 THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, and respectfully request the Court to
10 order, pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that Plaintiff’s Complaint be
11 dismissed in its entirety with prejudice and that a dismissal with prejudice of this matter be entered
12 as to Defendant.

13 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

14 Dated: August 18, 2011

15
16
17 /s/ Alexander Brick

18 Plaintiff Alexander Brick in Pro Per

19 Dated: August 18, 2011

20
21 /s/ Michelle R. Barrett

22 MICHELLE R. BARRETT
23 Attorney for Defendant
24 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41, it is hereby ordered that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety and that a dismissal with prejudice be entered as to Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2011



HON. MARIA ELENA JAMES
United States District Court Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE

Pursuant to the Court's General Order 45, Section 10(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing declaration is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge. Executed this 18th day of August, 2011, in San Francisco, California.

/s/ Michelle R. Barrett
Michelle R. Barrett

Firmwide:103203782.1 045444.2145