

1 DONALD M. FALK (SBN 150256)
 dfalk@mayerbrown.com
 2 MAYER BROWN LLP
 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
 3 3000 El Camino Real
 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
 4 Telephone: (650) 331-2000
 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060

5 John Nadolenco (CA Bar No. 181128)
 jnadolenco@mayerbrown.com
 6 Lisa W. Cornehl (State Bar No. 232733)
 lcornehl@mayerbrown.com
 7 MAYER BROWN LLP
 8 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503
 9 Telephone: (213) 229-9500
 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248

10 *Attorneys for Defendant AT&T Mobility, LLC*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 PATRICK HENDRICKS, on behalf of himself
 and all others similarly situated,
 16
 Plaintiff,
 17
 v.
 18 AT&T MOBILITY, LLC,
 19
 Defendant.

Case No. CV 11-00409-CRB

**DECLARATION OF KEVIN RANLETT
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF:**

**REQUEST FOR ADJUSTMENT OF
HEARING DATE**

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer

1 I, Kevin Ranlett, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. The following facts are of my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness
3 I could and would testify competently as to their truth.

4 2. I am an attorney employed by Mayer Brown LLP and am counsel to plaintiff
5 AT&T Mobility LLC (“ATTM”) in this action (*Hendricks*), as well as in *Schroeder v. AT&T*
6 *Mobility LLC*, No. 3:11-cv-04412-CRB (N.D. Cal.), *AT&T Mobility LLC v. Bernardi*, No. 3:11-
7 cv-03392-CRB (N.D. Cal.), and *Blau v. AT&T Mobility LLC*, No. 3:11-cv-00541-CRB (N.D.
8 Cal.).

9 3. On September 13, 2011, I spoke twice by telephone with Scott Bursor, counsel for
10 the defendants in *Bernardi*, the plaintiffs in *Schroeder*, and the plaintiff in *Hendricks*, about
11 coordinating the hearings on the cross motions to compel arbitration and for a preliminary
12 injunction in *Bernardi*, the plaintiffs’ petition to compel arbitration in *Schroeder*, and ATTM’s
13 motion to compel arbitration in *Hendricks*. Currently, the motion for a preliminary injunction in
14 *Bernardi* is scheduled to be heard on October 21, 2011, the other motion in *Bernardi* and the
15 petition in *Schroeder* are scheduled to be heard on October 14, 2011, and the *Hendricks* motion
16 is scheduled to be heard on September 23, 2011.

17 4. Mr. Bursor indicated that he would be arguing all four motions in *Bernardi*,
18 *Schroeder*, and *Hendricks*. He did not indicate that he would be unavailable for a hearing on
19 October 21, 2011. He stated, however, that he would not agree to have his motions in those
20 cases delayed.

21 5. On September 13-14, 2011, I left several voicemail messages for and sent emails
22 to Lenza McElrath III, counsel for the plaintiff in *Blau v. AT&T Mobility LLC*, No. 3:11-cv-
23 00541-CRB (N.D. Cal.), about rescheduling the hearing on ATTM’s motions to compel
24 arbitration and to dismiss in that case. In his one responsive email to me, he expressed concern
25 about moving the hearing and asked for additional information. But he did not respond to my
26 follow-up email and voicemail providing that detail and asking whether he is available on
27 October 21, 2011.

