

1 RANDALL L. ALLEN (SBN 264067)
 randall.allen@alston.com
 2 PALANI P. RATHINASAMY (SBN 269852)
 palani.rathinasamy@alston.com
 3 **ALSTON & BIRD LLP**
 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150
 4 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008
 Telephone: 650-838-2000
 5 Facsimile: 650-838-2001

6 JANE FUGATE THORPE (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 jane.thorpe@alston.com
 7 SCOTT A. ELDER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 scott.elder@alston.com
 8 **ALSTON & BIRD LLP**
 1201 West Peachtree Street
 9 Atlanta, GA 30309
 Telephone: 404-881-7000
 10 Facsimile: 404-881-7777

11 Attorneys for Defendants
 MCDONALD’S CORPORATION and
 12 MCDONALD’S USA, LLC.

13
 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17
 18 MONET PARHAM, on behalf of herself and
 those similarly situated,

19
 20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 McDONALD’S CORPORATION, and
 McDONALD’S USA, LLC.,

23 Defendants.
 24

Case No.: 11-cv-00511-MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S
 AMENDED CLASS ACTION
 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
 THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW,
 THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES
 ACT AND DECLARATORY AND
 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

1 Having received and reviewed the April 18, 2011 Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended
2 Class Action Complaint, filed by defendants McDonald's Corporation and McDonald's USA,
3 LLC, and plaintiff's opposition and defendants' reply memorandum, and good cause appearing, IT
4 IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Class Action Complaint
5 is GRANTED on the following grounds: Plaintiff fails to state a claim for relief under
6 California's Unfair Competition Law. *See Kwikset Corp. v. Super. Ct. of Orange Ctny.*, 246 P.3d
7 877 (Cal. 2011); *Hall v. Time, Inc.*, 158 Cal. App. 4th 847 (2008); *Similia v. Am. Sterling Bank*,
8 No. 09-CV-781JLS(CAB), 2010 U.S. Dist LEXIS 108440, at *16 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 12, 2010); (2)
9 Plaintiff fails to state a claim for relief under California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act. *See*
10 *Cattie v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 504 F. Supp. 2d 939 (S.D. Cal. 2007); and (3) Plaintiff fails to
11 state a claim for relief under California's False Advertising Law. *See Id.*; *Hinjos v. Kohl's Corp.*,
12 No. CV 10-07590 ODW AGRX, 2010 WL 4916647 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 2010). Pursuant to Federal
13 Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), Plaintiff has failed to plead "enough facts to state a claim to
14 relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 547 (2007). Accordingly,
15 the Amended Class Action Complaint is dismissed in its entirety and with prejudice.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 DATED:

19
20
21

Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28