24

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	ROBERT RUFFIN, G-60756,		
11	Petitioner,	No. C 11-0512 CRB (PR)	
12	vs.	ORDER REOPENING CASE AND ORDER TO	
13	BRENDA CASH, Acting Warden,	SHOW CAUSE	
14	Respondent.		
15)		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner currently incarcerated at California State		
17	Prison, Los Angeles County, filed a pro se protective petition for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a conviction and sentence from Santa		
19	Clara County Superior Court. Petitioner also moved for a stay until he exhausts		
20	his claims in the state courts.		
21	Per order filed on February 28, 2011, the court granted the motion to stay		
22	proceedings and instructed the clerk to administratively close the case until		
23	petitioner exhausts his claims and moves to reopen the case and lift the court's		

stay. Petitioner recently filed a notice of change of address, wherein he also

lift its stay. Good cause therefor, petitioner's notice is construed in part as a

motion to reopen the case and lift the court's stay and is granted.

informs the court that he exhausted his state judicial remedies when the Supreme

Court of California rejected his claims in August 2011 and requests that the court

1	BACKGROUND	
2	Petitioner accepted a plea bargain agreement and entered pleas of guilty to	
3	a variety of charges related to two separate bank robberies. He also admitted that	
4	he had suffered a prior "strike" felony conviction and a prior serious felony	
5	conviction. On March 27, 2009, the trial court sentenced petitioner in accordance	
6	with the plea agreement to a total term of 35 years to life in state prison.	
7	Petitioner appealed and was assigned appellate counsel, who subsequently	
8	filed a brief under People v. Wende, 25 Cal. 3d 436 (1979), finding no arguable	
9	issues and requesting the California Court of Appeal to review the record	
10	independently. On February 19, 2010, the California Court of Appeal filed an	
11	opinion finding no arguable issues on appeal and affirming the judgment of the	
12	trial court. Petitioner did not seek review from the Supreme Court of California,	
13	but later sought habeas relief from the state courts. On August 10, 2011, the	
14	Supreme Court of California denied his final petition for a writ of habeas corpus.	
15	DISCUSSION	
16	A. <u>Standard of Review</u>	
17	This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf	
18	of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the	
19	ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of	
20	the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).	
21	It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show	
22	cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application	
23	that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." Id. § 2243.	
24	B. <u>Claims</u>	
25	Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief under § 2254 by raising five	
26	claims: (1) the state courts improperly denied his petition for a writ of habeas	
27		
28	2	

corpus, (2) the trial court improperly accepted his admission of the prior "strike" 1 2 conviction without establishing a factual basis for it; (3) his admission of the 3 prior "strike" conviction was not voluntary and intelligent; (4) ineffective assistance of trial counsel for failing to challenge the prior "strike" conviction; 4 5 and (5) ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to raise issues (2), (3) and (4) on appeal. Claims (1) and (2) are DISMISSED because it is well-established 6 7 that: (1) errors in the state post-conviction review process are not addressable 8 through federal habeas corpus proceedings, see Ortiz v. Stewart, 149 F.3d 923, 9 939 (9th Cir. 1998), and (2) due process does not require a state court to establish 10 a factual basis for a guilty plea, see Rodriguez v. Ricketts, 777 F.2d 527, 528 (9th Cir. 1985). But liberally construed, claims (3), (4) and (5) appear cognizable 11 12 under § 2254 and merit an answer from respondent. See Zichko v. Idaho, 247 13 F.3d 1015, 1020 (9th Cir. 2001) (federal courts must construe pro se petitions for 14 writs of habeas corpus liberally).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 The clerk shall serve a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto on respondent and respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on petitioner.

Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within
60 days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule
5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of
habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and
serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been
transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues

3

presented by the petition.

If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent within 30 days of his receipt of the answer.

3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files such a motion, petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within 30 days of receipt of the motion, and respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner a reply within 15 days of receipt of any opposition.

4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must also keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>Oct. 5, 2011</u>

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge

 $G:\PRO-SE\CRB\HC.11\Ruffin, R.11-0512.osc.wpd$