1	MAYER BROWN LLP Lee H. Rubin (SBN 141331)	
2 3	Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112	
4	Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060	
5	lrubin@mayerbrown.com	
6	Counsel for Defendants AXA Rosenberg Group LLC, AXA Rosenberg	
7	Investment Management LLC, and Barr Rosenberg Research Center LLC	
8	[Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page]	
9		
10	UNITED STATES I	
11	NORTHERN DISTRIC	
12	OAKLAND	DIVISION
13	THE GOVERNMENT OF GUAM	Lead Case No. CV 11-00536 JSW
14	RETIREMENT FUND; THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT	Case No. CV 11-0897
15	SYSTEM; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE NATIONAL ELEVATOR INDUSTRY	JOINT STIPULATION AND
16 17	HEALTH BENEFIT FUND; and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE PIPEFITTERS	[PROPOSED] ORDER NO. 4 Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor
17	LOCAL 636 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION FUND, both individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Judge: Jeffrey S. White
19	Plaintiff,	
20	v.	
21	AXA ROSENBERG GROUP LLC, AXA ROSENBERG INVESTMENT	
22	MANAGEMENT LLC, BARR ROSENBERG RESEARCH CENTER LLC, and BARR	
23	ROSENBERG,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROP	OSED] ORDER NO. 4 (CASE NO. CV 11-00536 (JSW))

Case3:11-cv-00536-JSW Document24 Filed05/26/11 Page2 of 5

WHEREAS, on March 18, 2011, the Court entered an order ("Order No. 2") that, among
 other things, ordered Plaintiffs to file a Consolidated Complaint by April 15, 2011 and ordered
 Defendants to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint by May 30,
 2011, which is a federal holiday;

5

6

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2011, Plaintiffs filed the Consolidated Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Complaint involves two proposed classes of plaintiffs, an Investor Class 7 and an ERISA Class, and alleges eight causes of action against Defendants, namely: breach of 8 fiduciary duty on behalf of the Investor Class (Count I), aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary 9 duty on behalf of the Investor Class (Count II), negligence/gross negligence on behalf of the 10 Investor Class (Count III), an accounting for the Investor Class (Count IV), breach of the duty of 11 prudence on behalf of the ERISA Class (Count V), breach of the duty of loyalty on behalf of the 12 13 ERISA Class (Count VI), co-fiduciary liability on behalf of the ERISA class (Count VII), and prohibited transactions on behalf of the ERISA class (Count VIII); 14

WHEREAS, Defendants AXA Rosenberg Group LLC, AXA Rosenberg Investment
Management LLC, and Barr Rosenberg Research Center LLC together intend to file a motion to
dismiss the Complaint and Defendant Barr Rosenberg intends to file a separate motion to dismiss
the Complaint;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Civil Standing Order, all briefs in support of, in
opposition to, or in reply to any motion (with certain exceptions not relevant here), may not
exceed fifteen pages in length;

WHEREAS, given the legal issues raised by the Complaint, as well as the need to brief
arguments unique to particular Defendants, Defendants believe they collectively will need more
than fifteen pages for each of their two respective briefs in support of their motions to dismiss;

WHEREAS, to respond to the anticipated arguments in the motions to dismiss, Plaintiffs
will likely need more than fifteen pages for their briefs in opposition to Defendants' respective
motions to dismiss.

28

1	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among	
2	the Parties hereto, with the Court's permission, that:	
3	1. The aggregate page limit for Defendants' motions to dismiss and	
4	supporting briefs shall be extended to forty (40) pages, and no individual brief on	
5	behalf of any defendant or group of defendants shall exceed twenty-five (25)	
6	pages.	
7	2. The aggregate page limit for Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants'	
8	respective motions to dismiss and supporting briefs shall also be extended to forty	
9	(40) pages, and, if Plaintiffs do not file a consolidated opposition, no individual	
10	brief in response to a specific motion to dismiss shall exceed 25 pages.	
11	3. The aggregate page limit for Defendants' respective reply briefs shall be	
12	extended to twenty-five (25) pages, and no individual brief on behalf of any	
13	defendant or group of defendants shall exceed fifteen (15) pages.	
14	4. Defendants' motions to dismiss shall be filed no later than May 31, 2011.	
15	5. Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss shall be filed no	
16	later than July 15, 2011.	
17	6. Defendants' reply briefs shall be filed no later than August 12, 2011.	
18	7. The parties agree to notice the hearing for the motion for September 16,	
19	2011.	
20	Dated: May 26, 2011 Respectfully submitted,	
21	MAYER BROWN LLP	
22	/s/ Lee H. Rubin LEE H. RUBIN	
23	Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real	
24	Palo Alto, CA 94305-2112 Tel: (650) 331-2000	
25	Fax: (650) 331-2060	
26	Counsel for Defendants AXA Rosenberg Group LLC, AXA Rosenberg	
27	Investment Management LLC, and Barr Rosenberg Research Center LLC	
28		
	<u>JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</u> ORDER NO. 4 (CASE NO. CV 11-00536 (JSW))	
l		

Case3:11-cv-00536-JSW Document24 Filed05/26/11 Page4 of 5

1		
2	Dated: May 26, 2011 BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP	
3	/s/ Blair A. Nicholas	
4	BLAIR A. NICHOLAS DAVID KAPLAN	
5	12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130	
6	Tel: (858) 793-0070 Fax: (858) 793-0323	
7	Co-Lead Interim Counsel for the Proposed Class	
8	Dated: May 26, 2011 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN LLP	
9	/s/ Kally M. Darmady	
10	/s/ Kelly M. Dermody KELLY M. DERMODY	
11	ALISON STOCKING 275 Battery Street, 29 th Floor	
12	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Tel: (415) 956-1000	
13	Fax: (415) 956-1008	
14	Co-Lead Interim Counsel for the Proposed Class	
15	Dated: May 26, 2011 COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS LLP	
16	/s/ Jonathan Bass	
17	JONATHAN BASS One Ferry Building, Suite 200	
18	San Francisco, CA 94111-4213 Tel: (415) 772-5757	
19	Fax: (415) 989-1663	
20	Counsel for Defendant Barr Rosenberg	
21		
22	[PROPOSED ORDER]	
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	\sim	
25	DATED: May 27, 2011	
26	HOXORABLE FFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27		
28		
	4 JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER NO. 4 (CASE NO. CV 11-00536 (JSW))	
	JOINT STIP OLATION AND [$\frac{1}{100000000}$] OKDEK NO. 4 (CASE NO. CV 11-00530 (JSW))	