

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDRICK M. BLAU,

Plaintiff,

v.

AT&T MOBILITY, et al.,

Defendants.


No. C 11-00541 CRB

**ORDER GRANTING  
JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY**

For the reasons stated in open court, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff sixty (60) days of jurisdictional discovery as to AT&T Inc. At the conclusion of the sixty days, Plaintiff is to file a supplemental brief of no more than fifteen (15) pages as to whether it continues to assert that the Court has personal jurisdiction over AT&T Inc. (and if so, why). AT&T Inc. may respond with a brief of no more than fifteen (15) pages within two weeks of the filing of Plaintiff's supplemental brief. The Court takes under submission AT&T Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss (dkt. 36) and AT&T Mobility and AT&T Inc.'s Motion to Compel Arbitration (dkt. 38). The Court will rule on both motions once the supplemental jurisdictional briefing is complete.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 21, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE