[PROPOSED] ORDER

1 Richard L. Schwartz (NY Bar No. 1821081)* OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 STATE OF NEW YORK 120 Broadway, 26th Floor 3 New York, New York 10271 Telephone: 212-416-8282 (Richard L. Schwartz) 4 Facsimile: 212-416-6015 5 Email: Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov 6 *Automatic Pro Hac Vice Admission 7 Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving Civil L.R. 11-3) 8 **Attorney for Plaintiff, State of New York** 9 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) MASTER FILE NO. 07-md-1827-SI 13 ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL File No. 1827 14 This Document Relates to CASE NO. 3:11-CV-711-SI 15 Case Nos. 3:07-MD-1827 and 3:11-CV-711 PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW 16 YORK'S STIPULATION AND 17 STATE OF NEW YORK [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, TIME TO FILE EXPERT 18 Attorney General **DISCLOSURE** 19 Plaintiff, Judge Susan Y. Illston 20 v. 21 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al., 22 23 Defendants. 24 WHEREAS the revised scheduling order in the above-captioned case provides that 25 26 plaintiff must disclose to defendants the identity of its expert(s) and provide a one-paragraph 27 description of the issues to be addressed by each expert on October 3, 2011; 28 STATE OF NEW YORK'S STIPULATION AND MASTER FILE NO. 07-MD-1827-SI 1

Dockets.Justia.com

CASE NO. 3:11-CV-711-SI

WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York contemplates retaining two experts - a direct damages expert and an indirect damages expert - in the above-captioned case;

WHEREAS any direct damages expert retained by Plaintiff State of New York may address: (i) the conditions for cartel behavior, particularly in light of the characteristics of TFT-LCD panels and the structure of the TFT-LCD industry; (ii) whether the economic evidence, including pricing behavior, is consistent with the existence of a conspiracy among Defendants; (iii) whether the cartel had an economic impact by increasing prices above competitive levels with respect to direct purchasers, particularly as to the claims assigned to New York by HP, Dell, IBM, and Lenovo (the "Assigned Claims"); (iv) whether New York was injured; (v) the amount of damages and/or restitution to which New York is entitled pursuant to the Assigned Claims; (vi) the volume of TFT-LCD commerce with respect to New York; and (viii) may also respond to any economic analysis that seeks to deny the existence of Defendants' conspiracy, the effects of the conspiracy, or the participants in the conspiracy;

WHEREAS any indirect damages expert retained by New York may address: (i) the conditions for cartel behavior, particularly in light of the characteristics of TFT-LCD panels and the structure of the TFT-LCD industry; (ii) whether the economic evidence, including pricing behavior, is consistent with the existence of a conspiracy among Defendants; (iii) whether the cartel had an economic impact by increasing prices above competitive levels with respect to direct purchasers, or employ analysis and results generated by New York's direct damages expert; calculate the extent of any pass-through of the overcharges; (iv) whether the overcharges resulted in higher prices with respect to the end-payor purchases that have been asserted in New York's complaint; (v) whether New York was injured; (vi) the nominal recoveries for damages and/or restitution to which New York is entitled; (vii) the volume of TFT-LCD commerce with

	41		
1	respect to New York; and (viii) r	may also respond to any economic analysis that seeks to deny the	
2	existence of Defendants' conspiracy, the effects of the conspiracy, or the participants in the		
3	conspiracy;		
4	WHEREAS Plaintiff S	tate of New York desires additional time to identify its experts;	
5	WHEREAS extending	the time for Plaintiff State of New York to identify its experts	
7	would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;		
8	NOW, THEREFORE, the Undersigned Parties, acting by and through their respective		
9			
10	counsel of record, stipulate and agree as follows:		
11	Plaintiff State of New Y	York will have until October 17, 2011 to identify its experts.	
12			
13	Dated: October 3, 2011	Respectfully submitted,	
14		ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN Attorney General of the State of New York	
15		BY: /s/	
16		Richard L. Schwartz	
17 18		Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau 120 Broadway, 26 th Floor	
19		New York, New York 10271 (212) 416-8282 (voice)	
20		(212) 416-6195 (fax) Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov	
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York	
22		*Automatic Pro Hac Vice Admission Pursuant to Pretrial	
23		Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving Civil L.R. 11-3)	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		

1	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY:/s/
2	,	Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)
3		Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062) Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)
2000		CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
4		One Liberty Plaza New York, NY 10006
5		(212) 225-2000 (Phone)
6		(212) 225-3999 (Facsimile)
7		mlazerwitz@cgsh.com
8		Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.
9		
10	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY:/s/
11		Christopher A. Nedeau Carl L. Blumenstein
		Allison Dibley
12		NOSSAMAN LLP
13		50 California Street, 34 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
14		(415) 438-7274 (telephone)
15		Attorneys for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation and
16		AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.
17		
18	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY:/s/ Sandra West (SBN 250389)
		Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
19		Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
20		DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 1600 El Camino Real
21		Menlo Park, CA 94025
22		(650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111
		chris.hockett@davispolk.com neal.potischman@davispolk.com
23		sandra.west@davispolk.com
24		
25		Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a/ Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei
26		Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
27		
28		

1		
2	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY:/s/ Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
		Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987) MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
3		One Market, Spear Street Tower
4		San Francisco, CA 94105
5		Telephone: (415) 442-1001 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001
6		
7		Attorney for Defendants Hitachi Ltd., Hitachi Displays
		Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.
8		
9	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY:/s/
10	,	John M. Grenfell
11		Jacob R. Sorensen Fusae Nara
12		Andrew D. Lanphere
		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 50 Fremont Street
13		San Francisco, CA 94105
14		
15		Attorneys for Defendants Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corp.
16		T.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Dated: October 3, 2011	BY: <u>/s/ John H. Chung</u>
2		John H. Chung (<i>pro hac vice</i>) WHITE & CASE LLP
3		1155 Avenue of the Americas
4		New York, NY 10036-2787 (212) 819-8200 (Phone)
		(212) 354-8113 (Facsimile)
5		jchung@whitecase.com
6		Christopher M. Curran (pro hac vice)
7		Kristen J. McAhren (pro hac vice)
8		WHITE & CASE LLP
6726		701 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-3807
9		(202) 626-3600 (Phone)
10		(202) 639-9355 (Facsimile)
11		ccurran@whitecase.com
: 1 :1		kmcahren@whitecase.com
12		Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba
13		Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic
14		Components, Inc., and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.
CED 15		systems, the.
15		
16	Attestation: The filer of this documents attests that the concurrence of the other signatories	
17	thereto has been obtained.	
18		
19		
20		
21	SO ORDERED	C. \ \ \ \ _
22		Honorable Susan J. Illston
23		10/3/11
24		Date Entered
25		
26		
100-11.00		
27		
28		