

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)
 2 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)
 3 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
 FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
 4 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)
 5 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
 50 Fremont Street
 6 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
 7 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 SHARP CORPORATION and
 9 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10

11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>15 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION</p> | <p>Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827</p> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|

16

17

This Document Relates To:

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
 TO ANSWER AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

18

Case No. 3:11-cv-711 SI

19

STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through,
 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney
 General,

21

Plaintiff,

22

v.

23

AU Optronics Corporation, *et al.*,

24

Defendants.

25

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation
3 America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.,
4 CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices
5 (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co.,
6 Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Matsushita
8 Display Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba
9 America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. no. 2556;

10 WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants’ motion to
11 dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

12 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,
13 “Sharp”) desire a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended Complaint;

14 WHEREAS the Court previously entered Orders extending the time to answer the
15 Amended Complaint on August 25, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp, on
16 September 13, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp and on October 25, 2011, as
17 stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and

18 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in
19 this case.

20 THEREFORE, the State of New York and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp’s deadline
21 to answer to the Amended Complaint shall be December 15, 2011.

22 Dated: November 28, 2011

23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
Attorney General of the State of New York

By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz

Richard L. Schwartz
Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Amy McFarlane
Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for Plaintiff
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-8282 (Phone)
(212) 416-6015 (Facsimile)
Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
JOHN M. GRENFELL
JACOB R. SORENSEN
FUSAE NARA
ANDREW D. LANPHERE
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

By: /s/ John M. Grenfell
John M. Grenfell

Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION
and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

ATTESTATION: Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the
concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/28/11



Honorable Susan Illston