

1 Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)
 Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)
 2 Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)
 CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
 3 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
 Washington, DC 20006
 4 (202) 974-1500 (Phone)
 (202) 974-1999 (Facsimile)
 5 *mlazerwitz@cgsh.com*
jcalsyn@cgsh.com
 6 *lberger@cgsh.com*

7 *Counsel for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and*
LG Display America, Inc.

8 [Additional counsel listed on signature page]
 9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

14 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3: 07-md-1827 SI
 MDL NO. 1827

17 This Document Relates To:

18 State of New York v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.,
 19 3:11-cv-711 SI

20 **STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~**
ORDER

The Honorable Susan J. Illston

21
 22 WHEREAS on September 21, 2010, defendants¹ submitted to the Southern District of New
 23 York its Notice of Motion to Stay Proceedings, Docket Number 14 (“Stay Motion”), asking that court

24 ¹ Here, defendants are AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation America, Chi Mei
 25 Optoelectronics USA, Inc., Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics
 26 Corporation), CMO Japan Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices
 27 (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.,
 28 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Sharp Corporation, Sharp
 Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.,
 Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., f/k/a
 Toshiba Matsushita Display Technology Co.

1 to stay the State of New York’s litigation pending the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation’s
2 (“JPML”) decision on whether to transfer this case as a tag-along action to the ongoing consolidated
3 proceedings before this Court;

4 WHEREAS, before the Southern District of New York ruled on the motion, the JPML
5 decided to transfer this case to this Court for inclusion in the coordinated pretrial proceedings already
6 in progress. See Transfer Order (Dkt. No. 2405);

7 WHEREAS, the parties agree that because this case has already been transferred to the MDL
8 litigation, the Stay Motion’s request to stay the case pending transfer to the MDL is moot;

9 WHEREAS, on September 22, 2010, the State of New York filed its motion to remand this
10 action to New York state court (“Remand Motion”), defendants timely opposed the Remand Motion,
11 and the Remand Motion is now fully briefed;

12 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2011, the State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the
13 above-captioned case (Dkt. No. 2556), and the Amended Complaint asserts claims under federal law;

14 WHEREAS, the State of New York no longer wishes to remand this action to New York state
15 court and defendants agree that this action should not be remanded, and therefore the parties agree
16 that the Remand Motion is moot; and

17 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that an orderly schedule for the response to the Amended
18 Complaint would be most efficient for the parties and for the Court;

19 THEREFORE, the State of New York and defendants hereby agree:
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. The Stay Motion should be withdrawn as moot.
2. The Remand Motion should be withdrawn as moot.
3. The briefing for defendants' responses to the Amended Complaint should be as follows:
 - (a) Defendants' responses to the Amended Complaint shall be due on May 5, 2011.
 - (b) Plaintiff's opposition shall be due on June 21, 2011.
 - (c) Defendants' replies shall be due on July 13, 2011.
4. Except as set forth above, all Federal and Local Rules shall remain in effect with respect to the pleadings and the briefing on the motions. Entering into this stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any defense, including under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12.
5. The parties respectfully request the Court to enter this stipulation as an order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: March 21, 2011

By: s/ Michael R. Lazerwitz
Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)
Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)
Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)
CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 974-1500 (Phone)
(202) 974-1999 (Facsimile)
mlazerwitz@cgsh.com

Attorneys for Defendants

LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: s/ Christopher A. Nedeau

Christopher A. Nedeau
Carl L. Blumenstein
Allison Dibley
NOSSAMAN LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 438-7274 (telephone)

Attorneys for Defendants
AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics
Corporation America, Inc.

By: s/ Steven F. Cherry

Steven F. Cherry
Adam Raviv
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3642
(202) 663-6000 (Phone)
(202) 663-6363 (Facsimile)
Steven.Cherry@wilmerhale.com
Adam.Raviv@wilmerhale.com

Attorneys for Defendants
Chi Mei Corporation, Chimei Innolux Corporation f/k/a Chi
Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics
USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Nexgen Mediatech USA,
Inc., and Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: s/ Kent M. Roger

Kent M. Roger (State Bar No. 95987)
Michelle Kim-Szrom (State Bar No. 252901)
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
(415) 442-1000 (Phone)
(415) 442-1001 (Facsimile)
kroger@morganlewis.com
mkim-szrom@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd. and Hitachi Electronic
Devices (USA), Inc.

By: s/ Robert Wick

Robert Wick (*pro hac vice*)
Neil Roman (*pro hac vice*)
Derek Ludwin (*pro hac vice*)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-6000 (telephone)

Attorneys for Defendants

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

By: s/ John M. Grenfell

John M. Grenfell
Jacob R. Sorensen
Fusae Nara
Andrew D. Lanphere
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Attorneys for Defendants

Sharp Corporation
Sharp Electronics Corp.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: s/ Christopher M. Curran

Christopher M. Curran (*pro hac vice*)
Kristen J. McAhren (*pro hac vice*)
WHITE & CASE LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3807
(202) 626-3600 (telephone)

Attorneys for Defendants
Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd.,
Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. and
Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.

By: s/ Richard L. Schwartz

Richard L. Schwartz
John A. Ioannou
Jeremy R. Kasha
Assistant Attorneys General
120 Broadway, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10271
Tel. (212) 416-8284
Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories thereto has been obtained.

SO ORDERED



Honorable Susan J. Illston

3/221/11

Date Entered