1 2	ROCHELLE D. ALPERT, State Bar No. 065037 LEIGHA E. WEINBERG, State Bar No. 251795 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1326 Fax: 415.442.1001 E-mail: ralpert@morganlewis.com	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	XOOM CORPORATION, a California	Case No. 11-CV-00848 (CRB)
11	Comparation	
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENTED MOTION FOR AN
13	VS.	EXTENSION OF SERVICE DEADLINE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
14	MOTOROLA TRADEMARK HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited	
15	liability company, MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
16	and MOTOROLA MOBILITY HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware	
17	corporation, and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Xoom Corporation ("Xoom") filed the complaint in this action on February 23,	
21	2011. Pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Xoom has 120 days, or	
22	until June 23, 2011, to serve the summons and complaint. The parties are in discussions	
23	regarding the dispute. Counsel for Xoom and counsel for Defendants, Kristin J. Achterhof of	
24	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, have mutually agreed to file this motion to extend the service	
25	deadline by 20 days to July 13, 2011. See FRCP 6(b)(1)(A) ("When an act may or must be done	
26	within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without	
27	motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension	
		(100 C) (100 L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L

expires."); Henderson v. U.S., 517 U.S. 654 (1996) (120 day period for service provided by FRCP

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

CONSENTED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF SERVICE DEADLINE CASE NO.: 11-CV-00848 (CRB)

4(m) is extendable). The parties believe a 20-day extension is required to allow time to resolve this matter due to the intervening holiday on July 4, 2011. This request has been served by email on Defendants' counsel. Given that the parties are in discussions to resolve this matter and that both parties agree to the extension, there is good cause for the Court to extend the service deadline to July 13, 2011. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the service deadline be extended. Dated: June 22, 2011 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ROCHELLE D. ALPERT LEIGHA E. WILBUR By /s/Rochelle D. Alpert Rochelle D. Alpert Attorneys for Plaintiff XOOM CORPORATION

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO