Case3:11-cv-00848-CRB Document42 Filed12/22/11 Page1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

XOOM CORPORA	TION,		E-filing
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 11-CV-00848-CRB	
	Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSION ORDER SELECTING ADR PRO	
	report that they have met and conflation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 at	erred regarding ADR and have reached ADR L.R. 3-5:	thed the
The parties agre	ee to participate in the following AI	OR process:	
	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
appreciably mo	re likely to meet their needs than a	t conference with a Magistrate Judg ny other form of ADR, must particip They must instead file a Notice of and ADR L.R. 3-5)	pate in an
	Process: Private ADR (please identify proces	ss and provider)	Page and the second
⊅ t	the to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR process.)	lline is 90 days from the date of the ss unless otherwise ordered.)	order
	other requested deadline		
Dated: 19/13/	<u>xo/</u> /	Attorney for Plaintiff RO	CHELLE D-ALPERT
Dated: 12/22/20	011	/s/ CATHAY Y.N. SMITH Attorney for Defendant	



[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pu	irsuant to the Stipulation above, the capti	oned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration	
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
A	Mediation	
	Private ADR	
De	eadline for ADR session	
A	90 days from the date of this order.	
	other	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		75
Dated:	DEC 2 7 2011	1/1
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE