1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California
10	San Francisco Division
11	WILLIAM HAMILTON, No. C 11-00888 LB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER v.
13	[ECF No. 70] RADIOSHACK CORPORATION, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	
16	The parties are submitting a joint letter brief on July 26, 2012 about the admissibility of
17	complaints against Mr. Aybef. The process for the content of that brief is set forth in the court's
18	order at ECF No. 72.
19	The court issues this order to mention that there could be threshold issues of admissibility but
20	there also may be Rule 403 issues of juror confusion and the mini/side trial issues that the court
21	mentioned in earlier case management conferences. To the extent that Plaintiff's counsel can be as
22	specific as possible about what they want to use, the court will be in a better position to offer an
23	earlier advisory opinion on a likely in limine ruling. But if Plaintiff cannot, it may be harder to make
24	a call now (as opposed to in the context of a proper in limine motion at the pretrial conference).
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: July 24, 2012 LAUREL BEELER
27	United States Magistrate Judge
28	

C 11-00888 LB ORDER