

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE CORPORATION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DRUGLOGIC, INC.,

Defendant.

DRUGLOGIC, INC.,

Counterclaimant,

v.

ORACLE, CORP, INC., et al.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

Case No. C-11-00910 JCS

**ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL
COURT'S ORDER RE DRUGLOGIC'S
MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE EXPERT
TESTIMONY OF BRIAN K. PERRY
AND ORACLE'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF
COMPLAINT (DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT
OF '091 PATENT)**

The Court hereby ORDERS that its October 16, 2013 Order [Docket No. 290] is under seal. The Court intends to publish the entire opinion in the public record within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. If any party believes that the Court's opinion contains any confidential information entitled to protection, that party shall file with the Court a declaration establishing such entitlement and any proposed redactions not later than 21 days from the date of this Order. The Court notes that the standard for sealing any portion of its opinion is high because of the strong presumption in favor of public access that adheres to judicial documents. *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). In the case of a decision on the merits, a party can overcome this presumption only by articulating compelling reasons

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

supported by specific facts. *Id.*

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: October 16, 2013



Joseph C. Spero
United States Magistrate Judge