

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
 City Attorney
 2 DANNY CHOU, State Bar #180240
 Chief of Complex and Special Litigation
 3 OWEN J. CLEMENTS, State Bar #141805
 JAMES M. EMERY, State Bar #153630
 4 VIRGINIA DARIO ELIZONDO, State Bar #134771
 Deputy City Attorneys
 5 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor
 6 San Francisco, California 94102-5408
 Telephone: (415) 554-4261
 7 Facsimile: (415) 554-3985
 E-Mail: jim.emery@sfgov.org

8 NOSSAMAN LLP
 9 PAUL S. WEILAND, State Bar # 237058
 pweiland@nossaman.com
 10 ROBERT C. HORTON, State Bar # 235187
 rhorton@nossaman.com
 11 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800
 12 Irvine, CA 92612-0177
 Telephone: (949) 833-7800
 13 Facsimile: (949) 833-7878

14 Attorneys for Defendants
 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
 15 MAYOR LEE, and RECPARK GENERAL MANAGER
 GINSBURG, in their official capacities
 16

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 WILD EQUITY INSTITUTE, et al.
 20 Plaintiffs,
 21 vs.
 22 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 FRANCISCO, et al.
 23 Defendants.

Case No. 3:11-cv-00958 SI

**STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER RE
 OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE**

Date: November 18, 2011
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Courtroom 10, 19th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

25 SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC GOLF
 ALLIANCE,
 26
 27 Defendant-Intervenor.
 28

1 WHEREAS, plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction is set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. on
2 November 18, 2011;

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to a stipulated scheduling order, plaintiffs filed their preliminary
4 injunction motion on September 23, 2011, defendants and defendant-intervenors filed their respective
5 oppositions to preliminary injunction on October 21, 2011, and Plaintiffs filed their reply papers
6 supporting their preliminary injunction on November 4, 2011;

7 WHEREAS, defendant City and County of San Francisco filed Objections to Evidence on
8 November 2, 2011 and defendant-intervenor San Francisco Golf Association joined San Francisco's
9 objections on November 2, 2011;

10 WHEREAS, the stipulated briefing schedule did not provide for Objections to Evidence;

11 WHEREAS, Local Civil Rule 7-3(a) now provides that "[a]ny evidentiary and procedural
12 objections to the motion must be contained within the [opposition] brief or memorandum";

13 WHEREAS, defendants' counsel were not aware that the Court had amended Local Civil Rule
14 7-3(a) to include this requirement; and defendants believe, in light of the issues presented in plaintiffs'
15 motion and the Court's crowded law-and-motion calendar on November 18 that it will assist the Court
16 to have defendants' objections in writing; and

17 WHEREAS, defendants seek leave, *nunc pro tunc*, to file their November 2 Objections to
18 Evidence;

19 WHEREAS, plaintiffs have agreed to this Stipulation in order to avoid burdening the Court
20 with an additional dispute, but take no position at this time whether defendants' filing of Objections to
21 Evidence was necessary or appropriate;

22 NOW THEREFORE,

23 The parties have met and conferred and agree as follows:

24 1. Plaintiffs shall file their response to defendants' Objections to Evidence on or before
25 November 11, 2011;

26 2. Plaintiffs' response to defendants' Objections to Evidence shall not exceed 8 pages; and
27
28

