234

1

5

6 7

8

10

1112

LARRY REDIC,

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

2223

21

2425

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner,

v.

TERRIE L. GONZALEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

No. C-11-1004 TEH (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL; NEW ACTION FILED IN ERROR; NO FILING FEE

DUE

On March 3, 2011, Petitioner filed a document entitled "Points and Authorities in Support Thereof Continual Pursuit to Exhaust State Court Remedies." Doc. #1. Included in Petitioner's filing is a copy of an order of this Court issued by the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel in Redic v. Marshall, No. C-08-5010-MHP (PR) (N.D. Cal. filed Nov. 3, 2008). That action is a federal habeas petition challenging a criminal judgment from Alameda County superior court. Judge Patel ordered the case administratively closed so that Petitioner could return to state court for exhaustion purposes. See id., Doc. #6. Since the case was closed administratively, Petitioner has filed a letter updating the Court

on his efforts to exhaust state court remedies. See id., Doc. #7. It appears that the document filed as a new action under case number 3 C-11-1004-TEH (PR) was intended to be another such update. 4 Accordingly, the instant action is DISMISSED as filed in 5 error. No filing fee is due. The Clerk shall terminate any pending 6 motions as moot and close the file. 7 Further, the Clerk shall file Petitioner's "Points and 8 Authorities in Support Thereof Continual Pursuit to Exhaust State Court Remedies" (Doc. #1) under Redic v. Marshall, No. C-08-5010-MHP (PR) (N.D. Cal. filed Nov. 3, 2008). As Judge Patel noted, nothing further will take place in that action until Petitioner exhausts all 12 claims in state court and, within thirty (30) days of doing so, 13 files a motion to reopen. 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 DATED 03/11/2011 THELTON E. HENDERSON 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.11\Redic-11-1004-dismissal-filed in error.wpd

2728

26