

1 KEVIN P. MUCK (CSB No. 120918)
kmuck@fenwick.com
 2 CATHERINE KEVANE (CSB No. 215501)
ckevane@fenwick.com
 3 MARIE C. BAFUS (CSB No. 258417)
mbafus@fenwick.com
 4 FENWICK & WEST LLP
 555 California Street, 12th Floor
 5 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 875-2300
 6 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

7 Attorneys for Defendants
 Equinix, Inc., Stephen M. Smith and Keith D. Taylor
 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12 CEMENT MASONS & PLASTERERS
 13 JOINT PENSION TRUST, Individually and
 on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 EQUINIX, INC., STEPHEN M. SMITH and
 17 KEITH D. TAYLOR,

18 Defendants.
 19

Case No. 11-CV-01016-SC

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME
 FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND
 TO COMPLAINT**

[Civil Local Rule 6-1(a)]

22 WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is alleged to be a class action asserting violations
 23 of the federal securities laws against Defendants Equinix, Inc., Stephen M. Smith and Keith D.
 24 Taylor (collectively, "Defendants");

25 WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is subject to the requirements of the Private
 26 Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act"), which sets forth specialized
 27 procedures for the administration of securities class actions;
 28

1 WHEREAS, the Reform Act provides for, among other things, the appointment of a lead
2 plaintiff to act on behalf of the alleged class, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(3)(B);

3 WHEREAS, the parties expect that motions for appointment of a lead plaintiff and lead
4 counsel will be filed by May 3, 2011;

5 WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file motions to dismiss the claims asserted against
6 them; and

7 WHEREAS, because the special procedures specified in the Reform Act contemplate
8 appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel, and because the lead plaintiff and lead counsel
9 appointed by the Court should have the opportunity to file an amended complaint, requiring
10 Defendants to respond to the initial complaint in the above-referenced action would serve no
11 purpose and would result in the needless expenditure of private and judicial resources.

12 IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), by and between the
13 undersigned counsel for the Parties, that:

14 1. The time for each Defendant to answer, move or otherwise respond to the
15 complaint is extended until after the appointment of a lead plaintiff and lead counsel;

16 2. Within forty-five (45) days from the date that the Court appoints a lead plaintiff in
17 this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(3)(B), such lead plaintiff shall either file an amended
18 complaint (“Amended Complaint”) or designate the most recent complaint on file as its operative
19 complaint (“Operative Complaint”);

20 3. Within forty-five (45) days from the date that the Court-appointed lead plaintiff
21 either files an Amended Complaint or designates an Operative Complaint (pursuant to the
22 preceding paragraph), Defendants shall file an answer, motion to dismiss or other response to
23 such Amended Complaint or Operative Complaint;

24 4. Defendants shall have no obligation to file any answer, motion to dismiss or other
25 responsive pleading to any complaint in this action until an Amended Complaint is filed (or
26 Operative Complaint is designated) by the Court-appointed lead plaintiff.

27 Pursuant to General Order No. 45 Section X(B), all of the signatories concur in the filing
28 of this stipulation.

