

1 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 173985)
 nchatterjee@orrick.com
 2 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 1000 Marsh Road
 3 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 Telephone: +1-650-614-7400
 4 Facsimile: +1-650-614-7401

5 JEFFREY L. COX (admitted Pro Hac Vice)
 jcox@orrick.com
 6 RANJIT NARAYANAN (admitted Pro Hac Vice)
 rnarayanan@orrick.com
 7 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5700
 8 Seattle, WA 98401
 Telephone: +1-206-839-4300
 9 Facsimile: +1-206-839-4301

10 Attorneys for Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC.

11 DIANE SUE RICE
 12 drice@hosielaw.com
 SPENCER HOSIE
 13 shosie@hosielaw.com
 GEORGE F. BISHOP
 14 gbishop@hosielaw.com
 WILLIAM PATRICK NELSON
 15 wnelson@hosielaw.com
 HOSIE RICE LLP
 16 Transamerica Pyramid
 600 Montgomery Street
 17 34th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 18 Telephone: 415-247-6000
 Facsimile: 415-247-6001

19 Attorneys for MASTEROBJECTS, INC.

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 22 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

24 MASTEROBJECTS, INC.,
 25 Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,
 26 v.
 27 AMAZON.COM, INC.,
 28 Defendant/Counter-Claimant.

Case No. CV 11-01055 CRB

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO
 RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT
 CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER RESCHEDULING CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

1
2 1. WHEREAS, other pending cases in this District, including *MasterObjects v.*
3 *Google, Inc.*, Case No. CV 11-01054 PJH (“the Google case”), involve the same patent at issue in
4 the instant case and were brought by the same plaintiff, MasterObjects, Inc. (“MasterObjects), as
5 in the instant case.

6 2. WHEREAS on June 3, 2010, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11,
7 Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”) filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases
8 Should Be Related (“Amazon’s Motion To Relate”), seeking to relate the instant case to the
9 Google case. (Case No. CV 11-01054 PJH, Dkt. Nos. 15-17).

10 3. WHEREAS United States District Judge Hamilton, presiding in the Google case,
11 has not yet ruled on Amazon’s Motion To Relate.

12 4. WHEREAS, on June 6, 2011, the Court Staff issued a Notice of Electronic Filing
13 or Other Case Activity in the Google case, stating “Deadlines terminated.” (Case No. CV 11-
14 01054 PJH, Docket text dated 6/6/2011).

15 5. WHEREAS, to avoid the burden – both on the Court and the parties – of preparing
16 for and participating in a Case Management Conference in the instant case that may be rendered
17 superfluous by Judge Hamilton’s ruling, the parties respectfully request that the Case
18 Management Conference be rescheduled for July 8, 2011, or another date specified by the Court.

19 6. WHEREAS the following changes have previously been made to deadlines in this
20 case:

- 21 • Deadlines in the case were terminated on March 3, 2011, after the parties declined
22 to proceed before a U.S. Magistrate Judge and requested reassignment to a United
23 States District Judge. (Docket text dated 3/3/2011).
- 24 • An Extension of Time to Answer was granted on March 31, 2011. (Dkt. No. 10).

25
26 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the
27 parties appearing below through their undersigned respective attorneys of record, based on the
28 foregoing, and subject to the approval of this Court, that:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. Rescheduling the Case Management Conference to **July 8, 2011** will have no appreciable effect on the schedule for the case.

b. The Case Management Conference in this case, currently scheduled for June 17, 2011, be rescheduled to August 12, 2011, at 8:30 a.m.

[REDACTED], IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 10, 2011

Honorable Charles R. Breyer
United States District Court



