Heller v. C	Cepia L.L	C. et.al.	Doo	
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	5	Northern District of California		
	6			
	7	JASON G. HELLER,	No. C 11-1146 MEJ	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT For the Northern District of California	8	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EX	
	9	v. CEPIA L.L.C., et al.,	PARTE APPLICATION	
	10	Defendants.	Re: Docket No. 75	
	11		/	
	12			
	13	On December 12, 2011, Plaintiff Jason G. Heller filed an Opposition to Defendant Cepia,		
	14	L.L.C.'s Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Submit Ex Parte Declarations. Dkt. No. 75. In his opposition,		
	15	Plaintiff argues that Defendant failed to comply with the Court's December 5 Order, which denied		
	16	Defendant' motion for leave to file a motion for a protective order and required the parties to meet		
	17	and confer in person and thereafter file a joint letter in accordance with the undersigned's discovery		
UNITED ST For the Not	18	standing order. Dkt. No. 70. However, in its Order, the Court ordered that if either party wished to		
UNIT For t	19	submit an expert declaration and the other party objects, the requesting party shall file an ex parte		
	20	request. Id. Accordingly, Plaintiff's argument is without merit. Plaintiff's request for sanctions is		
	21	denied on that ground as well. Plaintiff's request for sanctions is also denied because he failed to		
	22	comply with the undersigned's discovery standing order in that his request for sanctions did not come		
	23	in the form of a separate motion.		
	24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	25		M -	
	26	Dated: December 13, 2011		
	27		Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
	28			