25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	IN THE OWILD STATES DISTRICT COOK!
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	JORGE NAHUM ESCUTIA No. C 11-1183 WHA (PR)
8	MENDOZA,
9	Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION
10	v.
11	TERRI GONZALEZ, Warden, (Docket Nos. 16 & 17)
12	Respondent.
13	
14	Petitioner, a California prisoner, filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus
15	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2254. Respondent's motion to dismiss was granted on that grounds tha
16	petitioner had not exhausted his claims in that he had not completed all of his state court
17	proceedings. Petitioner has filed two motions in which he states that he has now completed all
18	of his state court proceedings, which he contends warrants "reconsideration" of the dismissal
19	order. It does not. The dismissal order was correct, and his completion of state court
20	proceedings is not grounds for reconsidering the order. To be sure, this case was dismissed
21	without prejudice to petitioner refiling his petition when the state court proceedings are
22	completed, but the petition must be refiled in a new case, not in this one. To the extent
23	petitioner has completed all of his state court proceedings, he may file his petition in a new
24	case. The motions for reconsideration (docket number 16 and 17) are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October <u>7</u>, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\HC.11\MENDOZA1183.REC.wpd