1

2

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 TETSUO AKAOSUGI, HIEU NGUYEN, on No. C 11-01272 WHA behalf of themselves and all others similarly 11 situated, 12 Plaintiff, **ORDER REGARDING** PROPOSED CLASS LETTER 13 v. 14 BENIHANA INC., d/b/a BENIHANA NATIONAL CORPORATION, and DOES 1 15 through 50, 16 Defendant. 17 18 On October 3, 2011, there was a discovery dispute hearing regarding production of a class 19 20

On October 3, 2011, there was a discovery dispute hearing regarding production of a class list. The order regarding the discovery dispute is filed concurrently herewith. The parties were ordered to submit a draft of a letter to be sent to prospective class members in compliance with the Court's instructions set forth at the hearing. Unfortunately, the parties were unable to reach agreement on the draft letter. Based upon review of the draft letters submitted, the following language for the letter is approved:

RE: Tetsuo Akaosugi and Hieu Nguyen v. Benihana National Corp., et al.

[USDC N.D. No. CV 11-1272]

The Court has given me permission to write you this letter to determine whether you would be willing to speak with me in connection with a prospective class action lawsuit against Benihana National Corp. ("Benihana"), in which Managers and General Managers who worked

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for Benihana in California at any time since February 14, 2007 would be prospective class members.

My firm represents the plaintiffs who brought the case — Tetsuo Akaosugi and Hieu Nguyen — who claim that Benihana's managers were improperly classified as exempt from California's overtime and meal and rest break laws. We believe you may be owed overtime wages for hours worked in excess of 8 hours in a day or 40 hours in a week as well as wages for missed meal and rest breaks. Plaintiffs also claim that Benihana had an unlawful "use it or lose it" vacation policy. Benihana denies these claims and contends that it properly compensated its managers.

We are at a very important stage of the case where the judge must decide whether the case should proceed as a class action. You are under no obligation to speak with me, but, if you are willing to spare a few minutes, we would very much like to ask you about your job duties and how you were paid.

If you are willing to speak to me, please call me at [insert contact information], so we can arrange a time to talk.

Distribution of the letter shall comply with the Court's instructions set forth at the discovery dispute hearing on October 3, 2011 and summarized in the order file concurrently herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 13, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE