

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 TINA M UBALDI,

No. C -11-01320-EDL

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

10 v.

11 SLM CORPORATION,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Pending before the Court are (1) Defendants' Motion to Continue Class Certification  
15 Briefing Schedule (Dkt. 138); and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Shortened Schedule (Dkt. 142).

16 For good cause shown, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' motion and sets the following  
17 schedule:

18 Plaintiffs' Motion for Class	No later than October 22, 2013
19 Certification	
20 Defendants' Opposition to Motion for	No later than November 19, 2013
21 Class Certification	
22 Plaintiffs' Reply	No later than December 10, 2013
23 Hearing on Motion to Certify Class	January 7, 2014


24 In the Motion for Shortened Schedule, Plaintiffs seek an expedited briefing schedule and  
25 decision on their motion to compel. Plaintiffs argue that the motion to compel should be decided  
26 quickly so that if the Court grants the motion, Plaintiffs can receive Defendants' discovery responses  
27 at a meaningful time prior to the August 20, 2013, deadline to file for class certification.

28 Because the Court has continued the class certification deadlines, Plaintiffs' concern about  
timing is moot. The Court therefore **DENIES** Plaintiffs' Motion for Shortened Time. The matter

1 will be briefed according to Local Rule 7-3, and the motion to compel will be heard on July 23,  
2 2013. Should the Court grant the motion to compel, Plaintiffs will have ample time to receive  
3 Defendants' discovery responses before the October 22, 2013 deadline to file for class certification.  
4

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: June 21, 2013



---

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Chief Magistrate Judge