1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMI Norman B. Blumenthal (SBN 068687) Kyle R. Nordrehaug (SBN 205975) Aparajit Bhowmik (SBN 248066) Piya Mukherjee (SBN 274217) 2255 Calle Clara La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: (858) 551-1223 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232 Attorneys for Plaintiffs SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Eric M. Steinert (SBN 168384) E-mail: esteinert@seyfarth.com Andrew M. McNaught (SBN 209093) E-mail: amcnaught@seyfarth.com Kimberly G. Brener (SBN 244531) E-mail: kbrener@seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor	K	
11 12	San Francisco, California 94105-2930 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549		
13 14	Attorneys for Defendant ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY (erroneously sued as "American Zurich Insurance Company")		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO		
18	CORA BUCKLIN and VIRGINIA L.	Case No. CV 11-1348 SI	
19	BURTON, individuals, on behalf of themselves, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO TRANSFER VENUE TO	
20	Plaintiffs,	THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.	
21	v.)	§ 1404(a)	
22 23	AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY, an Illinois Corporation,	Complaint Filed: March 21, 2011 First Amended Compl. Filed: May 18, 2011	
24	Defendant.		
25			
26	Counsel for Plaintiffs Cora Bucklin and V	irginia Burton and Defendant Zurich American	
27	Insurance Company having met and conferred regarding proper venue of this matter, have agreed		
28			
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Transfer Venue to the	Central District of California / Case No. CV 11-1348 SI	

that this matter should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on May 18, 2011 and Defendant first appeared, by filing its Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, on June 6, 2011. In its Answer, Defendant first raised its contention that the Central District of California is a more convenient forum for the litigation of the above-captioned action.

WHEREAS, Defendant thereafter prepared to file a Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) on the grounds that (i) Plaintiffs' claims could have been brought in that district; (ii) the convenience of the witnesses and parties strongly favors a transfer; (iii) events relevant to Plaintiffs' claims and Defendant's defenses occurred in the Central District (*i.e.* Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant in the Central District of California) and, thus, sources of proof are in the Central District; (iv) Plaintiffs themselves are located in the Central District of California; and (v) the interests of justice are furthered by such a transfer.

WHEREAS, prior to filing its Motion, Defendant met and conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel regarding Defendant's Motion to Transfer Venue, and the parties ultimately agreed that the venue should be transferred to the Central District of California for the convenience of the parties and witnesses.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree, and respectfully request that the Court order, that the above-captioned action be transferred to the Central District of California on the following grounds: (i) this case could have been brought in the Central District of California; (ii) transferring the case to the Central District of California would be more convenient to witnesses than would proceeding in this Court; (iii) transferring this case to the Central District of California would be more convenient to the parties than would proceeding in this Court; and (iv) the interests of justice are furthered by such a transfer.

The parties agree and stipulate that, under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the Central District of California is the appropriate venue for this action and agree that this action should be transferred

1	to that venue. This case arises out of the Central District of California, as both Plaintiffs worked		
2	for Defendant in Woodland Hills, California and Plaintiffs reside in Los Angeles County.		
3	The parties further stipulate and agree that, upon transfer, they will meet and confer as to		
4	a briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification that is outside of the timing		
5	required by Central District Local Rule 23-3.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7	DATED 1 20 2011	OPMEA BETTA CALAMA LA B	
8	DATED: June 29, 2011	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
9			
10		By /s/ Kimberly Brener Eric M. Steinert	
11		Andrew M. McNaught Kimberly Brener	
12		Attorneys for Defendant ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE	
13		COMPANY	
14	D. 4. WED. Y	DI LIMENTUAL MODDDELLALIO 0	
15	DATED: June 29, 2011	BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK	
16			
17		By /s/ Aparajit Bhowmik	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs CORA BUCKLIN and VIRGINIA L.	
19		BÜRTON	
20			
21	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court hereby orders		
23	that this action be transferred to the Central District of California. No further proceedings shall		
24	be had in this Court.	District of Camornia. 140 farther proceedings share	
25	The state of the s		
26	DATED: June 30, 2011	Suran Solaton	
27	DATED. Julie, 2011	THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
28		OMILD STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 I, Kimberly Brener, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories. Dated: June 29, 2011 /s/ Kimberly Brener Kimberly Brener