1 2 3 4	PETER RUKIN (SBN 178336) RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL LLF 100 Pine Street, Suite 2150 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-1800 Facsimile: (415) 421-1700 E-mail: peterrukin@rhdtlaw.com)	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
6 7 8	MALCOLM A. HEINICKE (SBN 194174) Malcolm.Heinicke@mto.com CAROLYN V. ZABRYCKI (SBN 263541) Carolyn.Zabrycki@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street		
9 10	Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077		
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant YELP! INC.		
13	UNITED STATE	S DISTRI	CT COURT
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	JUSTIN LARKIN, ANTHONY TIJERINO,	CASE NO	O. 11-CV-01503 EMC
17	and AHMAD DEANES, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,		0. 11 CV 01505 LMC
18	Plaintiffs,		SED] ORDER GRANTING IINARY APPROVAL AND
19	v.		EMENT HEARING
20	YELP! INC.,	Judge:	Honorable Edward M. Chen
21	Defendant.	e dage.	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	17897639.1		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL – Case No. 11-CV-01503 EMC

1 The joint motion of the Settling Parties for an order preliminarily 2 approving a class action and collective action settlement and setting a settlement hearing, came on for hearing on or about June 4, 2012. At that hearing, the Court 3 conditioned the grant of preliminary approval of the settlement on the parties' 4 agreement to make certain changes to the agreement, primarily concerning the 5 6 notice process. The Court has now considered the Stipulation Re: Settlement of Class and Collective Actions (and its exhibits), the submissions of counsel, the 7 stipulation amending the Stipulation Re: Settlement of Class and Collective 8 9 Actions per the Court's June 4, 2012 instructions, and all other papers filed in this action. The matter having been submitted and good cause appearing therefore, the 10 Court finds as follows: 11

All defined terms contained herein shall have the same
 meanings as set forth in the Stipulation Re: Settlement of Class and Collective
 Actions executed by the Settling Parties and filed with this Court (the
 "Stipulation");

The Class Representatives and Yelp, through their counsel of
 record in the Litigation and per the terms of the Stipulation, have reached an
 agreement to resolve the Litigation and settle all California Released Claims and
 National Released Claims;

3. 20 The Court conditionally finds that, for the purposes of 21 approving this settlement only and for no other purpose and with no other effect, 22 the proposed California Class meets the requirements for certification under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: (a) the proposed California Class is 23 ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the class is 24 impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the proposed 25 26 California Class; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives Justin Larkin and 27 Anthony Tijerino (the "California Class Representatives") are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed California Class; (d) the California Class 28

- 1 -

Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the proposed
California Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods
for an efficient adjudication of this controversy, especially given the settlement
context here; and (f) the counsel of record for the California Class Representatives
are qualified to serve as counsel for the Class Representatives in their own
capacities as well as their representative capacities and for the California Class;

The Court conditionally finds that, for the purpose of approving
this settlement only and for no other purpose and with no other effect, in the
context of this Settlement, the proposed California Class and the proposed National
Class meet the requirements for certification as a collective action class under 29
U.S.C. § 216(b) because a sufficient initial showing has been made that the
California Class Members and the National Class Members are similarly situated;

5. The moving parties have presented to the Court for review a
Stipulation Re: Settlement of Class and Collective Actions. The Stipulation is
within the range of reasonableness and meets the requirements for preliminary
approval;

6. The moving parties have also presented to the Court for review 17 a plan to provide a California Notice to the Members of the proposed California 18 Class which sets out the terms of the settlement and the California Class Members' 19 20 options including, *inter alia*, their options (i) to opt out of the California Settlement Class, (ii) to remain in the California Settlement Class and elect to be represented 21 22 by counsel of their choosing, (iii) to object to the terms of the settlement, and/or (iv) to seek to become California Participating Claimants by submitting California 23 Settlement Claim Certification Forms. The California Notice will be mailed to all 24 California Class Members at their Last Known Addresses. The plan regarding 25 26 California Notices proposed by the Settling Parties is the best practical under the 27 circumstances and satisfies pertinent due process requirements and the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23; and 28

- 2 -

7. 1 The moving parties have also presented to the Court for review 2 a plan to provide a National Notice to the Members of the proposed National Class which sets out the terms of the settlement and the National Class Members' options 3 including, *inter alia*, their options (i) to refrain from acting and thereby exclude 4 themselves from the National Settlement Class, (ii) to opt in to the National 5 6 Settlement Class, and, if eligible, become National Participating Claimants, (iii) to 7 elect to be represented by counsel of their choosing, and/or (iv) to object to the terms of the settlement. The National Notice will be mailed to all National Class 8 9 Members at their Last Known Addresses. The plan regarding National Notices proposed by the Settling Parties is the best practical under the circumstances and 10 satisfies pertinent due process requirements. 11

12

Good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the California Class and National Class are provisionally
 certified, and the Stipulation of Settlement is preliminarily approved;

16

17

18

19

20

21

2. Notice of the proposed settlement, and the rights of California Class Members to opt out of the settlement or become California Participating Claimants, shall be given by mailing of the California Notice by first class mail, postage prepaid, to all California Class Members pursuant to the applicable provisions in the Stipulation. Yelp shall provide the Claims Administrator with the information necessary to conduct this mailing as set forth in the Stipulation;

Notice of the proposed settlement, and the rights of National
 Class Members to opt in to the settlement and become National Participating
 Claimants, if eligible, or refrain from acting and thereby exclude themselves from
 the settlement, shall be given by mailing of the National Notice by first class mail,
 postage prepaid, to all National Class Members pursuant to the applicable
 provisions in the Stipulation. Yelp shall provide the Claims Administrator with the
 information necessary to conduct this mailing as set forth in the Stipulation

- 3 -

1 4. Yelp has agreed to pay Class Counsel their reasonable attorney 2 fees in this matter in the maximum total combined, gross amount not to exceed 3 \$312,500 as well as certain allowable costs in this matter up to the maximum gross amount of \$10,000, and Yelp has agreed to pay enhancement awards in the total 4 maximum gross amount of \$15,000 (\$5,000 to each Class Representative) to the 5 6 Class Representatives to reimburse them for their unique services and execution of 7 general releases. The Court preliminarily finds that these agreements are fair and reasonable; 8

9 5. A hearing shall be held before this Court on November 16,
10 2012 at 1:30 p.m. to consider whether the settlement should be given final approval
11 by the Court:

(a) Written objections by California Class Members and National
Class Members to the proposed settlement will be considered if received by Class
Counsel within ten days of the filing of the motion for final approval of the
settlement, and this deadline is anticipated to be October 22, 2012;

(b) At the Settlement Hearing, California Class Members and
National Class Members who have filed timely written objections may be heard
orally in support of, or in opposition to, the settlement;

(c) Class Counsel and counsel for Yelp should be prepared at the
hearing to respond to objections filed by California Class Members and National
Class Members, if any, and to provide, as appropriate, other information bearing
on whether or not the settlement should be approved; and

6. In the event that the Effective Date occurs, all California
 Settlement Class Members will be deemed to have forever released and discharged
 the California Released Claims, all National Settlement Class Members will be
 deemed to have forever released and discharged the National Released Claims, and
 the Litigation will be dismissed with prejudice. In the event that the Effective Date
 does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Stipulation shall be deemed null and

- 4 -

1	void and shall have no effect whatsoever.			
2	7. Prior to the Settlement Hearing, the parties shall file a joint			
3	motion for final approval of the settlement, and Class Counsel shall file a motion			
4	for an award of attorneys' fees and costs.			
5				
6	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
7				
8				
9	DATED:			
10	The Honorable Edward M. Chen United States District Judge			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 5 - PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL – Case No. 11-CV-01503 EMC			