1 2 3	Peter Rukin (SBN 178336) RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL LLP 100 Pine Street, Suite 2150 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-1800	
4	Facsimile: (415) 421-1700 E-mail: peterrukin@rhdtlaw.com	
5	Rosa Vigil-Gallenberg (SBN 251872)	
6	GALLENBERG PC 9701 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90071	
7	Telephone: (310) 295-1654 Facsimile: (310) 733-5654	
8	Email: rosa@gallenberglaw.com	
9	Ian McLoughlin Tom Urmy	
10	SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP 53 State Street 13th Floor	
11	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 439-3939	
12	Facsimile: (617) 439-0134 E-mail: theyman@shulaw.com	
13	Attorneys for Representative Plaintiffs	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18 19	JUSTIN LARKIN, ANTHONY TIJERINO, and AHMAD DEANES, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:11-cv-01503-EMC PLAINTIFFS' REPORT ON OBJECTIONS
20	Plaintiffs,	AND STATUS OF CLAIMS
21	v.	Date: November 30, 2012
22	YELP! INC.,	Time: 2:30 p.m. Courtroom: 5 -17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
23	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen
24		
25		-
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 3:11-cv	Dockets.Justia.com

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Plaintiffs' hereby respectfully submit this report on the status of objections and claims in this matter.

## **OBJECTIONS**

There are no current objections to the settlement at this time.<sup>1</sup>

## **CLAIMS**

On October 19, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Final Approval of Settlement informing this Court that the parties were in the midst of meeting and conferring on whether or not some late/ deficient and/or outstanding claims would be honored and that an updated report on this issue would be provided to this Court. Docket ("Dkt.") 48 at 2, Fn. 2. Below is an update on these issues.

As of November 2, 2012, there are nine class members who had submitted late claim forms and three class members who had submitted deficient claim forms. Dkt. 48 at 8 Ln. 21-23. There were also three additional individuals not included in the original class list who contend they are entitled to settlement payments ("Self-Identifying Class Members"). *Id.* Defense counsel has confirmed that all three Self-Identifying Class Members are actually Class Members entitled to receive settlement payments should they submit a claim form.

On October 16, Counsel for the parties directed the Settlement Administrator to mail a letter to the eleven individuals who had submitted late or deficient claims as of that date ("Cure Letter"). Dkt. 48 at 8 Ln. 24-27. Four of the eight class members who had submitted late claims responded to the Cure Letter. One of the three individuals who had deficient claim forms cured the deficient claim.

Yelp has agreed to honor five late claims. Plaintiff's position is that the remaining four late
claims should also be honored, particularly in the event that they eventually provide good cause for
the late submission.

28

27

25

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A class member who submitted a late claim did express his intent to object to the Settlement in the event his claim was not honored. Yelp has agreed to honor his claim (which was formally tendered on October 29, 2012, along with a letter explaining the reason for its tardiness), and the class member has expressed his satisfaction with that result.

1	Based on five late claims being honored, one deficient claim cured, and three self-		
2	identifying Class Members participating, the current gross settlement to be paid by Yelp will be		
3	\$805,924.02. <sup>2</sup> Should all nine late claims be honored, the gross settlement payment would be		
4	\$809,367.07.		
5	An updated claims report from the settlement administrator is attached as Exhibit A.		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	Dated: November 2, 2012Respectfully submitted,		
14	GALLENBERG PC		
15	By: <u>/s/ Rosa Vigil-Gallenberg</u>		
16	Rosa Vigil-Gallenberg		
17			
18			
19 20			
20 21			
21			
22			
24			
25			
26	<sup>2</sup> The \$845,000 estimate provided in the Motion for Final Approval was incorrect and the result of a mathematical error in the application of the settlement formula (in particular, in the allocation of the settlement formula (in particular) in the allocation of the settlement formula (in particular).		
27	unsought attorneys' fees). Application of the formulas described in paragraphs 1.22, 1.23, 1.24 and 2.8.1 of the Settlement Agreement (Dkt. 48, Exhibit 1 to Rukin Declaration), will result in the		
28	payments described above.		
	-2-		
	Case No. 3:11-cv-01503-EMC Plaintiff's Report on Objections & Status of Claims		